

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

The thrifty gene hypothesis: maybe everyone is right?

International Journal of Obesity (2008) 32, 723–724;
doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0803772; published online 18 December 2007

As always, Professor Claude Bouchard provides an elegant up-to-date exposition of the Neel 'thrifty gene' hypothesis, but disappointingly concurs with discarding it completely.¹ Perhaps an expansion of the hypothesis could satisfy everyone?

As stated, the presence of strong genetic influences in obesity has been demonstrated to everyone's satisfaction. However, the excellent identical twin studies quoted by Professor Bouchard required confirmation from studies of both identical and non-identical twins, to reject the possibility that similar *in utero* environmental influences in twins caused later adiposity, rather than the genetic one.² In such a twin study, using further decomposition analysis, we also showed that there are multiple genes involved in governing total and central fatness, some shared and some separate.³

However, the rejection of Neel's 'thrifty gene' hypothesis may be too early. Rather, I suggest its expansion to hypothesize a selection by evolution of genes that are 'superior for survival' in dangerous times. Survival in hard times was based (as Professor Bouchard details) on qualities now probably disadvantageous to our hopes of a long life (to which we all now feel entitled). Unfortunately, species preservation demands only our survival to rear our young safely, not to reach a comfortable old age.

I propose the following list as a teleological 'survival' grouping, in accord with both the Neel concept and genes that Professor Bouchard reports in his review.

The survival gene clusters we should expect to identify will govern the following activities:

(1) rapid food consumption; (2) efficient fat storage; (3) activity bursts for food gathering or protection (glycolytic predominance, less rapid switch to fat oxidation); (4) hyperactive innate immune response (Toll-like receptor 4 system), possibly with faster thrombus formation; (5) greater flight or flight response (sympathetic nervous system responsiveness); (6) low level of 'unpurposeful' activity.

The association between the innate immune response system, a primitive cellular response as if to a threat of hypoxia (also related to reactive oxygen species), and the tendency to glycolytic rather than fat oxidative metabolism is consistent with an 'overresponsive' hypoxia-inducible

factor 1 α pathway.⁴ Furthermore, the very recent data suggesting that the gut flora cause obesity and insulin resistance would be consistent with my hypothesis of a hyperactive state of T-cell like receptor 4 immunity, itself causing the immune hyperresponse to gut microbiota, thus demonstrating the predicted links between immunity and obesity genes.^{5,6} Thrombocytes may apparently be similarly T-cell like receptor 4 responsive to lipopolysaccharides and such over-responsiveness would no longer be beneficial to man.⁷

In behavioural traits, the low non-exercise activity thermogenesis reported in 'couch potatoes' has been suggested to be genetic in origin.⁸

Professor Bouchard speculates that genotyping will eventually simplify susceptibility to obesity and associated phenotypes. I agree, because the genes useful for survival and fat sparing can already help explain later atheroma (via novel mechanisms such as T-cell like receptor 4 interaction with oxidized low-density lipoprotein and lipopolysaccharides) and possibly, microvascular disease (via tumour growth factor β under hypoxia-inducible factor 1 α regulation). For example, a human polymorphism of T-cell like receptor 4 was reported in 2002 associated with poorer immunity to infection as well as decreased atheroma.⁹

LV Campbell^{1,2}

¹*Diabetes and Obesity Research Program, Garvan Institute of Medical Research, Darlinghurst, Sydney, Australia and* ²*Diabetes Centre, St Vincent's Hospital Diabetes Centre, Darlinghurst, Sydney, Australia*

E-mail: l.Campbell@garvan.org.au

References

- 1 Bouchard C. The biological predisposition to obesity: beyond the thrifty genotype scenario. *Int J Obes* 2007; 31: 1337–1339.
- 2 Samaras K, Spector TD, Nguyen TV, Baan K, Campbell LV, Kelly PJ. Independent genetic factors determine the amount and distribution of fat in women after the menopause. *J Clin Endoc Metab* 1997; 82: 218–225.
- 3 Samaras K, Nguyen TV, Jenkins AB, Eisman JA, Howard GM, Kelly P *et al.* Clustering of insulin resistance, total and central abdominal fat: same genes or same environment? *Twin Res* 1999; 2: 218–225.
- 4 Zarembek KA, Malech HL. HIF-1 α : a master regulator of innate host defenses? *J Clin Invest* 2005; 115: 1702–1704.

- 5 Cani PD, Amar J, Iglesias MA, Poggi M, Knauf C, Bastelica D *et al.* Metabolic endotoxaemia initiates obesity and insulin resistance. *Diabetes* 2007; **56**: 1761–1772.
- 6 Greenfield JR, Campbell LV. Relationship between Inflammation, insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes: cause or effect? *Curr Diabetes Rev* 2006; **2**: 195–211.
- 7 Scott T, Owens MD. Thrombocytes respond to lipopolysaccharide through Toll-like receptor 4, and MAP kinase and NFK β pathways leading to expression of interleukin 6 and cyclooxygenase-2 with production of prostaglandin E2. *Mol Immunol* 2008; **45**: 1001–1008.
- 8 Levine JA, Lanningham-Foster LM, McCrady SK, Krizan AC, Olson LR, Kane PH *et al.* Interindividual variation in posture allocation: possible role in human obesity. *Science* 2005; **307**: 584–586.
- 9 Kiechl S, Lorenz E, Reindl M, Wiedermann CJ, Oberhollenzer F, Bonora E *et al.* Toll-like receptor 4 polymorphisms and atherogenesis. *N Engl J Med* 2002; **347**: 185–192.