

1 **Modelling insulin resistance in rodents by alterations in diet. What have “high**
2 **fat” and high calorie diets revealed?**

3 Lewin Small¹, Amanda E Brandon^{1,2}, Nigel Turner³, Gregory J Cooney^{1,2}.

4 ¹Diabetes and Metabolism Division, Garvan Institute, Sydney, NSW, Australia

5 ²Sydney Medical School, Charles Perkins Centre, D17, The University of Sydney,
6 NSW, Australia.

7 ³Department of Pharmacology, School of Medical Science, University of New South
8 Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia.

9

10 Running Title: High calorie diets and insulin action in rodents

11 Main text word count: 6132

12 Abstract word count: 227

13 Tables: 1

14 Figures: 1

15

16 Contact details:

17 Gregory J Cooney

18 Professorial Research Fellow

19 The University of Sydney,

20 Charles Perkins Centre, D17

21 Sydney Medical School Sydney | NSW | 2006

22 gregory.cooney@sydney.edu.au

23

24

25

26 **Abstract**

27 For over half a century, researchers have been feeding different diets to rodents to
28 examine the effects of macronutrients on whole body and tissue insulin action. During
29 this period, the number of different diets and the source of macronutrients employed
30 has grown dramatically. Due to the large heterogeneity in both the source and
31 percentage of different macronutrients used for studies, it is not surprising that
32 different high calorie diets do not produce the same changes in insulin action. Despite
33 this, diverse high calorie diets continue to be employed in an attempt to generate a
34 “generic” insulin resistance. The “high fat diet” in particular varies greatly between
35 studies with regard to the source, complexity and ratio of dietary fat, carbohydrate and
36 protein. This review examines the range of rodent dietary models and methods for
37 assessing insulin action. In almost all studies reviewed rodents fed diets that had more
38 than 45% of dietary energy as fat or simple carbohydrates had reduced whole-body
39 insulin action compared to chow. However, different high calorie diets produced
40 significantly different effects in liver, muscle and whole-body insulin action when
41 insulin action was measured by the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp method.
42 Rodent dietary models remain an important tool for exploring potential mechanisms
43 of insulin resistance but more attention needs to be given to the total macronutrient
44 content and composition when interpreting dietary effects on insulin action.

Introduction

Metabolic conditions associated with nutrient overconsumption such as obesity, insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and dyslipidemia present major challenges for the healthcare sector. Basic and clinical research that advances knowledge in this field is necessary for our understanding of metabolic disease. Animal models, and in particular rodent models, play a crucial role in the study of the complex pathologies caused by nutrient overconsumption and obesity. Although there are many surrogate measures of insulin action including glucose tolerance tests (GTT), insulin tolerance tests (ITT), HOMA-IR and insulin signalling phospho-immunoblots, hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp data is considered the most robust way to directly compare insulin sensitivity within and between studies in animals and humans. This review examines the effect of diets on insulin action in rodent models of diet-induced obesity (DIO) by primarily reviewing investigations in which insulin action was directly measured systemically by the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp and/or peripherally by glucose tracer uptake at matched insulin levels. The review also focuses on liver and skeletal muscle which are considered responsible for the majority of insulin-stimulated glucose clearance in rodents and humans (84). This review complements and extends some excellent other reviews on dietary modulation of insulin action (156, 157) and on the rodent high-fat diet (HFD) model in general (21, 61).

Rodent models of insulin resistance

The most commonly used rodent models of obesity and the metabolic syndrome are either monogenic or diet induced. Monogenic models of obesity such as rodents with a defect in leptin production (ob/ob mice), or its receptor (db/db mice, (fa/fa) Zucker

fatty rats) (183) are extensively used as they generate animals with pronounced hyperphagia and consequently severe obesity. However, due to germ line deletions/mutations in these genes, these animals have many physiological differences from wild type rodents including alterations in the microvasculature (191), nervous system (50) and fertility problems (96). Therefore, the manifestations of metabolic dysfunction in leptin, or leptin receptor deficient rodents may not fully reflect metabolic disease aetiology in humans where congenital leptin deficiency is exceedingly rare (126). There are also several gene knockout mouse models of insulin resistance, mostly mice with deletions/mutations in genes coding for proteins in the insulin-signalling pathway or glucose transporters (111). While these models provide vital information for mechanistic studies they do not necessarily reflect the complexity and heterogeneity of the metabolic syndrome. Studies using polygenic models of rodent obesity where rodents are selectively bred to produce pronounced hyperphagia and obesity such as the New Zealand obese mouse (38, 131) and the Wellesley mouse (57) must also be interpreted with care for similar reasons and due to a lack of suitable “within strain” control animals to enable appropriate comparison between lean and obese animals. In contrast, the rodent DIO model has the advantage of having greater relevance to humans where overconsumption of calories invariably leads to increased fat mass and metabolic changes irrespective of genetic background (13). Additionally, the DIO model allows comparisons between genetically very similar or identical animals, which may reduce the potential variability of the study (51).

Tissue specific insulin action

Whole body glucose metabolism/insulin action is a sum of glucose metabolism in all the tissue of the body. However not all tissues of the body contribute to glucose utilisation to the same extent and not all tissues are regulated by insulin in the same way. Arguably the most important tissues to insulin-regulated glucose metabolism are the muscle, liver and adipose tissue.

Muscle

Impaired insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in skeletal muscle is one of the hallmarks of lipid induced insulin resistance. Skeletal muscle makes up 25-40% of body mass (76) and due to its relative size in man and most animals, muscle is considered to be a major tissue for the disposal of both glucose (74) and fatty acids (55). Under hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp conditions, it has been calculated that skeletal muscle accounts for up to 80% of the total glucose disposal (44) and around 40% of glucose disposal after an oral glucose meal (73, 81). Skeletal muscle is also responsible for between 20 and 30% of resting energy expenditure (195). Additionally, muscle is capable of taking up and disposing of large amounts of glucose during and after exercise (137) which can have a major impact on glucose homeostasis and positive implications for metabolic health (17, 18).

There are multiple theories about the mechanisms that may be responsible for diet induced insulin resistance in muscle. Excess intramyocellular lipid (IMCL) content has been proposed to be a mediator as many reports show a strong negative correlation with whole body and skeletal muscle insulin sensitivity in humans and rodents (82, 89). However, this is not always the case (49) and interestingly, in athletes, who are very insulin sensitive, IMCL is high (the so-called athletes paradox (155)). Although an increase in lipid content is most clearly displayed as elevated

119 triacylglycerol (TAG) content, it is likely that TAGs may only act as a marker of
120 dysfunctional muscle glucose metabolism. Accumulation of bioactive lipids or lipid
121 intermediates such as diacylglycerol (DAGs), ceramides, acylcarnitines and/or acyl-
122 CoAs is more likely to be involved in the observed decrease of insulin sensitivity (2,
123 12, 66, 72). Increased intracellular DAG levels resulting from fat feeding have been
124 shown to activate protein kinase C (PKC) signalling leading to serine phosphorylation
125 of IRS1. This prevents the phosphorylation of tyrosine residues on IRS1 leading to a
126 defect in canonical PI3K/Akt signalling, reduced GLUT4 translocation and
127 consequently reduced insulin-stimulated glucose uptake (52, 72, 193).

128
129 The DAG hypothesis of lipid-induced insulin resistance has gained considerable
130 traction in the literature and has been reported to be present in the muscle of type 2
131 diabetic and lipid-infused humans (169). However, there are an increasing number of
132 studies that provide conflicting evidence. These include genetic models with
133 disruption of fatty acid metabolism leading to rodents which have increased muscle
134 DAG concentration that retain (148) or even increase (173) muscle insulin sensitivity.
135 Similar to DAG, another family of bioactive lipid species, ceramides, are also thought
136 to inhibit insulin signalling (33), and have been shown to interact with several
137 intracellular messengers including PKC isoforms, protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) as
138 well as kinases involved in inflammation pathways, JNK and NFκB (34, 163).
139 Inhibiting ceramide synthesis has been shown to protect against glucocorticoid, DIO
140 and saturated fat induced insulin resistance in rodents (66). Similar to the
141 investigations in rodent models of insulin resistance, the human literature correlating
142 different lipid species with insulin sensitivity in muscle is equally divided on the

importance of any specific lipids in the generation of insulin resistance (reviewed in detail by Bosma *et al*) (12).

A dysfunction in the oxidative capacity of the mitochondria has also been suggested as a mechanism underlying skeletal muscle insulin resistance (175). However other reports show no differences in mitochondrial function, or indeed an increase in DIO rodent models and between obese and lean humans (45, 176). A build-up of muscle reactive oxygen species (ROS) and activation of inflammatory pathways by circulating cytokines or intracellular lipids have also been proposed (186). Due to the multiple levels of regulation of glucose uptake and disposal in muscle it is likely that the range of muscle insulin sensitivity in humans may be due to a combination of defects in extracellular glucose delivery (blood flow, microvascular recruitment), transport (glucose transporter number, activity and translocation) and phosphorylation (hexokinase activity) (185). Therefore, we believe that insulin action in muscle may be negatively affected by multiple different metabolites/mechanisms as discussed above. Clearly, our understanding of the mechanisms involved in diet-induced, skeletal muscle insulin resistance remains incomplete despite the detailed analysis of the molecular mechanisms involved in skeletal muscle insulin action outlined in several review articles (1, 43, 69, 103, 142, 143, 177).

Liver

Hepatic insulin resistance, most commonly defined as the failure of insulin to suppress hepatic glucose production (HGP), is one of the first pathologies observed in DIO models of insulin resistance (86, 141, 179). This is consistent with short term overfeeding studies in humans that attributed a rapid loss of whole body insulin

sensitivity to defects in control of HGP (19, 36). The exact cellular mechanisms behind lipid induced hepatic insulin resistance are still debated, however it is clear that the development of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is strongly associated with a loss of the ability of insulin to inhibit glucose output. Similar to lipid-induced insulin resistance in muscle, one of the main theories suggested to explain this change in hepatic insulin sensitivity focuses on accumulation of bioactive lipid species. In this scenario the activation of PKC ϵ by hepatic DAG accumulation, results in the inhibition of hepatic insulin signalling and subsequent increased expression and activation of gluconeogenic enzymes (127) although the time course for this sequence of events does not necessarily fit with the rapid ability (within minutes) of insulin to shut down glucose output from the liver. Liver ceramide content has also been implicated and has been reported to have a negative correlation with hepatic insulin action (118). Despite the many studies suggesting a causative role for liver lipid in systemic insulin resistance, some researchers have suggested that hepatic insulin resistance may precede NAFLD (53).

In addition to carbohydrate metabolism, the liver plays a crucial role in fatty acid metabolism. This includes the uptake, oxidation and *de novo* synthesis of fatty acids as well as packaging of lipids into lipoproteins for export and storage in other tissues (130). Lipogenesis and triglyceride synthesis in the liver are regulated by the transcription factor SREBP1c which is activated by insulin (15). In normal liver, stimulation of the insulin-signalling pathway leads to the activation of FOXO1 and SREBP1c leading to a transcriptional program to reduce glucose production and increase triglyceride synthesis. However, in the insulin resistant liver there is a loss of suppression of glucose production without decreases in triglyceride synthesis, despite

these processes being regulated by the same insulin-signalling pathway. This has led some researchers to the conclusion that there may be selective insulin resistance for different metabolic pathways in the liver (16). Due to the central role of the hepatocyte mitochondria in both the oxidation and synthesis of glucose and lipid, it is not surprising that mitochondrial function can be substantially altered in insulin resistant liver (37). Interestingly, in mouse models of NAFLD, there is a reported increase in the mitochondrial oxidative capacity of the liver (77, 78). Clearly the liver has a crucial role in regulating systemic glucose and fatty acid metabolism in both the fasting and postprandial state (84).

Adipose tissue

Although white adipose tissue (WAT) can contribute a significant portion to our body mass, particularly in obese individuals (54), it does not have a major impact on whole-body glucose disposal (54, 81, 88). In lean humans, adipose tissue is responsible for ~3% of the clearance of an oral glucose load (84). White adipose tissue also plays a relatively small role in the whole-body oxidation of fatty acids, instead it acts as more of a storage organ, releasing free fatty acids during fasting or starvation for use by other tissues such as heart and muscle (149). Despite its relative small contribution to glucose clearance, there is growing evidence that disruption of WAT development and remodelling can have significant consequences systemically. This may be due to the emerging role of WAT as a key endocrine organ, responsible for the production of metabolic regulatory hormones such as leptin and adiponectin (165).

In contrast to WAT, brown adipose tissue (BAT) is a very metabolically active tissue that plays a role in thermoregulation. BAT has a large capacity for glucose uptake and fatty acid oxidation and has significant expression of uncoupling protein (UCP)-1, a unique protein able to dissipate the electrochemical gradient across the inner mitochondrial membrane resulting in a direct conversion of chemical energy to heat (26). However, due to the low abundance of BAT found in humans, (estimates range between 60 and 100 grams), the contribution of BAT to whole body glucose homeostasis remains low, at least when not activated by cold exposure (93).

Diets used in obesity/diabetes research

The high fat diet

Altering rodent diets to produce and/or exacerbate obesity and metabolic syndrome has been a common tool used by researchers dating back to the mid 20th century. Early studies promoted HFD as a way to induce obesity, hyperglycaemia and insulin resistance and this type of diet has subsequently become a widely used tool in modelling the metabolic syndrome (59, 88, 144, 168). Although extensively used in diabetes and obesity research, the composition and fat content of “so called” HFD differ considerably, with relative fat fractions varying from between 20-95%, with fat derived from multiple sources including animals (lard, tallow), plants (olive, safflower, corn, coconut) and fish (21). Although HFD studies in rodents generally constrain the amount of dietary fat to between 45-60% of energy intake, there is an increasing field of literature looking at the effect of very high fat diets (VHFD) (80-95% dietary energy as fat) on metabolic health similar to low carbohydrate, ketogenic diets in humans (71). In rodents the evidence indicates that although VHFD generally

seem to reduce body weight gain (although not always (91)), they also reduce systemic and particularly hepatic insulin sensitivity (10, 79, 91)

Because the source of fat used in HFD can vary, it is important to keep in mind that feeding rodents diverse fatty acid species has been demonstrated to lead to different metabolic outcomes. This is outlined in Table 1, a review of studies investigating insulin sensitivity by hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp of rodents fed varying diets (high fat and refined carbohydrate). In rats, diets high in saturated fatty acids (SFA) have been shown to cause a greater degree of insulin resistance than diets high in mono (MUFA) and polyunsaturated fats (PUFA) (20, 158). Different SFA subtypes may also differ in their effects on insulin action with some evidence showing a greater effect of stearate (181). There is also evidence from rodents fed a mixed fatty acid diet that different fatty acid species may have tissue specific effects on insulin action (190). In mice, diets containing predominantly PUFA reportedly produce lower levels of muscle TAG and DAG than ones with higher levels of SFA and MUFA (172), however this does not necessarily translate to a difference in insulin sensitivity (125). Interestingly, supplementing HFD with omega-3 fatty acids seems to improve insulin sensitivity and muscle insulin action (97, 128, 158, 159), possibly through a PPAR α dependent mechanism (112). Additionally, a HFD consisting predominantly of medium chain SFAs (MCFA) such as lauric and capric acid (the predominant fatty acid species in coconut oil) do not seem to reduce insulin sensitivity as much as a HFD containing animal derived long chain SFAs (20, 164, 178, 188). This may be due to contrasting effects of these FAs on insulin sensitive tissues such as skeletal muscle (107) and liver (164). This is of particular interest because hydrogenated coconut oil has been commonly used as a saturated fatty acid source for HFD and is

found in the widely used, commercially available obesogenic “Surwit diet” (166, 167) (Research Diets D12330, D12331). However other researchers have reported no difference in insulin sensitivity between mice fed a HFD predominantly of either MCFA or long chain SFAs (LCFA) (41).

The mechanisms behind the differential effects of saturated and unsaturated fatty acids on insulin sensitivity are still debated and include alterations in plasma membrane phospholipid composition (122, 158, 187), transcriptional changes in fatty acid metabolism (35), inflammatory effects (151) as well as direct regulation of insulin signalling (33, 65, 107, 146, 161, 193). It is also clear that the rate of oxidation of different species of fatty acids vary quite significantly and fatty acids that are more unsaturated and shorter seem to be oxidized faster than longer, more saturated fatty acids (46, 95). This may be relevant as incomplete fatty acid oxidation and substrate competition between fatty acids and glucose (glucose/fatty acid cycle) have both been implicated as possible mechanisms for reduced insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in peripheral tissue (109, 134, 177).

High fat, high sucrose diet

In the late 1980s Surwit *et al* published a diet induced obesity study on C57BL/6J using a high fat, high sucrose diet (HFHS). The authors concluded that the C57BL/6J strain of mice is genetically predisposed to DIO and that a mix of a high fat, high simple carbohydrate diet is sufficient to produce many of the pathophysiological changes of the metabolic syndrome (167). In a follow up study, they showed that a HFHS diet generates higher weight gain and more pronounced hyperglycaemia and hyperinsulinemia than a high fat, low carbohydrate diet (166). These two papers

popularised the HFHS diet as a way of generating a more severe metabolic syndrome phenotype in the C57BL/6J model of DIO. The HFHS diet is widely used in rodent studies as a “western style” diet due to high levels of both sucrose and fat and is thought to more closely resemble a high energy human diet rather than high and very high fat diets of 60% or greater calories from fat. There is currently limited data on direct comparisons between the more traditional HFD and the Surwit HFHS diets effects on insulin action although Omar *et al* have reported that in C56BL6/J mice, the HFHS is not as effective as a more traditional HFD in supressing whole body insulin action under hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp conditions (116).

Cafeteria and “choice” diets

The use of a non-purified “cafeteria” diet, in which a mix of energy dense high fat and high sugar foods that are regularly consumed by humans (cake, biscuits, chips and processed meats) has been gaining traction as a more physiologically relevant to model the human western diet. The cafeteria diet has been reported to generate more pronounced obesity than a regular high fat diet (63, 140) and adding a greater degree of food choices seems to increase food intake and change feeding behaviour (104). Recently, Diepenbroek *et al* investigated the effect of different choice diets (animals received access to a combination of chow, beef tallow and 30% sucrose solution) on insulin sensitivity in rats after 1 week of diet. They found that animals who only had access to chow and tallow had the greatest reduction in hepatic glucose production while rats with access to all three foods were substantially hyperphagic and had the lowest whole body glucose disposal (48). Due to the current lack of studies using cafeteria and choice diets, no major conclusion can be drawn about the comparative

effects of a more conventional HFD and these diets on insulin action. Additionally, the cafeteria diet may make energy intake measurements harder to perform.

High carbohydrate diets

Although not as widely used as HFD in rodent DIO models, diets high in refined simple carbohydrates have been used successfully to model some elements of the metabolic syndrome, in particular hypertriglyceridemia and insulin resistance. Sprague Dawley and Wistar rats have been shown to become insulin resistance after 4-8 weeks of either high sucrose or fructose diets (60-70% sucrose or fructose as a percentage of total calories) (39, 119, 121, 145, 160). Pagliassotti *et al* reported that in as little as one week of high sucrose feeding, Wistar rats show evidence of whole body insulin resistance driven by reduced hepatic glucose suppression (120). There are fewer studies investigating systemic insulin resistance in mice fed diets high in simple carbohydrates although high sucrose/fructose feeding has been shown to reduce glucose tolerance compared to mice fed a diet high in complex carbohydrate (105, 135, 139, 162). However others have reported no difference in glucose disposal under hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp conditions (116).

Although the induction of whole body insulin resistance by high sucrose/fructose feeding is not as well established in rodents as the HFD model of insulin resistance, it is clear that other hallmarks of the metabolic syndrome such as obesity, hypertriglyceridemia and NAFLD, all of which correlate with insulin resistance in rodents and humans, can be quite pronounced (6, 127). Interestingly, the fructose moiety of the sucrose molecule seems to be the main driver of the loss in insulin

action possibly because of its preferential metabolism in the liver and the subsequent increase in liver lipids as a result (6, 170, 171). The molecular mechanisms behind insulin resistance caused by dietary fructose are mainly focussed on the strong hypertriglyceridemia and hepatic lipid accumulation explained in detail by Basciano *et al* (6). Additionally, high sucrose/fructose feeding may alter the trafficking dynamics of glucose transporters (174) and potentially trigger ER stress pathways (135). It is unclear whether insulin resistance derived from high simple carbohydrate feeding is of a similar aetiology to that derived from high fat diets. Potentially, a chronic increase in insulin secretion or glucose oversupply may drive the insulin resistance similar to insulin resistance seen in glucose-infused rodents (14, 68). From the few comparative studies published it seems likely that in rodents, high fat and high fat/high sucrose diets generate a greater degree of insulin resistance than high sucrose/fructose diets (116) (See Table 1).

Altering the source or complexity of more complex carbohydrates can also have implications on whole body insulin sensitivity. Replacing regular starch with resistant starch reduces digestion in the small intestine and increases bacterial fermentation in the large intestine. Resistant starch is a modified starch that generally contains higher levels of amylose (one of the two main components of starch, the other being amylopectin) or more cross-linkages within the starch structure. Rats fed a high carbohydrate diet higher in resistant starches exhibited less weight gain and better insulin sensitivity than those on a simple starch diet (24, 64, 150).

Altering dietary protein

Historically, protein is generally kept consistent in rodent DIO models at approximately 20% of the dietary energy and therefore there are relatively few studies

that investigate insulin action where dietary protein has been altered. Rossetti *et al* found that male Sprague-Dawley rats were significantly insulin resistant when fed very high protein diets (calorically 63.2% and 36.5% protein) due to both a decrease in peripheral glucose uptake and increased HGP (138). More recently, Solon-Biet *et al* explored the metabolic effects of varying dietary protein and showed that diets that were low in protein and high in carbohydrate were associated with improved glucose tolerance in mice despite the high-protein fed mice being leaner (153). This investigation also indicated that, when faced with low levels of dietary protein, rodents compensate by overconsumption to reach their protein target. This has implications when comparing diets that differ greatly in protein content.

The source of protein in synthetic diets has also been shown to influence insulin action. Animals fed fish protein have been shown to have enhanced systemic insulin sensitivity compared to those fed primarily casein or soy (92) and protein from specific fish species such as salmon may particularly promote insulin sensitivity (129). Others have shown that in combination with a high sucrose diet, replacing the source of dietary protein from casein to soy has beneficial effects on insulin resistance and dyslipidaemia (115). The reasons why proteins from different sources may have differential effects on insulin sensitivity remain poorly understood. Early work suggested a link with GLUT4 translocation in muscle (92). This may be due to the differential effect of specific amino acids on the amino acid sensing kinases, mTOR and S6 Kinase that have been shown to interact with the canonical insulin signalling cascade (31, 180, 192). There is also emerging evidence that circulating levels of branched chain amino acids (BCAA) correlate with impaired insulin signalling and action (75, 100, 113). Newgard *et al*, found that rats fed a HFD supplemented with

BCAA had similar glucose tolerance despite decreased adiposity compared to fat fed controls (113) however evidence of the effect of dietary BCAA on insulin action in rodents is limited.

General considerations in planning a rodent diet study

Length of Diets

The length of time mice are exposed to different diets for diet-induced obesity studies varies considerably with common DIO models being fed for between 4 to 16 weeks in order to elicit observable differences in body and fat mass. The different pathologies of the metabolic syndrome occur at different times in this process with insulin resistance reported to occur quite rapidly between 1-3 weeks after commencing a HFD. After one week of a HFD, hepatic insulin resistance is detectable through the lack of suppression of hepatic glucose output in a hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp, while skeletal muscle insulin resistance was not evident until 3 weeks after commencing the diet (86, 124, 179). Visible differences in macrophage “crown-like” structure numbers in adipose tissue take longer to appear, and become visible around 5 weeks of HFD and continue to increase in number at 10 and 16 weeks (94, 179). Adipose tissue inflammation may be responsible for further loss of insulin action after long term fat feeding (94), however is unlikely to be responsible for early lipid-induced insulin resistance due to this timing discrepancy. Interestingly, multiple investigations have found that systemic insulin resistance in C56BL6/J mice as measured by glucose infusion rate (GIR) during a hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp decreases with fat feeding without further deterioration after 3 weeks (124, 179). Pagliassotti *et al* found a similar result in high sucrose fed rats where there was

no further deterioration of systemic insulin sensitivity after 5 weeks of high sucrose feeding (120).

Although, a loss of insulin action can take weeks to be observed, there is some evidence suggesting that a proportion of lipid-induced insulin resistance can be reversed by relatively short periods of carbohydrate administration. This can be seen in fat-fed rats given a high glucose meal the day before a hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp (7, 114). A similar “glucose priming” effect was seen in dogs administered a duodenal infusion of glucose the morning before a clamp (108). However others have suggested that this insulin resistance is an adaptive response to high serum free fatty acid levels due to a functional glucose/fatty acid cycle and that muscle insulin resistance caused by fat-feeding cannot be reversed in the same way (60). Similarly, mice fed a HFD for 8 weeks returned to normal glucose tolerance after a 7-day switch to chow despite having similar adiposity to HFD controls (85).

Strain comparisons

When using rodent DIO models it is not uncommon to extrapolate that the metabolic effects of a certain diet are relevant to all strains of mice. However diverse strains of rodents have been shown to have different metabolic profiles and adapt in different ways to nutrient excess. For example, C57BL/6 mice are the strain used most in metabolism research however, this strain exhibits smaller reduction in insulin sensitivity (measured by clamp) upon exposure to a HFD compared to the DBA strain (8). BALB/c mice, another common strain, seem to resist the effects of a HFD on glucose tolerance (106). There is also some evidence to suggest that there is a

difference in glucose handling between Wistar and Sprague-Dawley strains of lab rat (102).

Gender

The majority of studies looking at dietary manipulation and metabolism are conducted in male mice. This is due in part to concerns about what impact the oestrus cycle might be having on metabolic parameters in females independent of the dietary manipulation, and because of the reported protective effect of female hormones on metabolism (25, 117, 136). It has been demonstrated that high fat or high sucrose feeding in female “pre-menopausal” rats does not produce the same glucose intolerance or insulin resistance as in male rats (3, 67). Increasing plasma free fatty acids using an intralipid infusion also has been shown to cause a decrease in insulin sensitivity in male but not female rats (62). This was suggested to be related to the fact that females have better oxidative stress responses (11, 23, 29), have more mitochondria (3, 80), with better respiratory capacity (80, 110) or that they have a greater capacity to expand fat stores (3). Whatever the reason, this protective effect is lost by removal of the ovaries (136).

Palatability of diets

An important consideration when undertaking diet studies is the palatability of the diets. This is especially the case when comparing diets that have different consistency or smell like starch-based diets with high fat diets. A significant amount of evidence shows that different carbohydrate and fat formulations are differentially palatable in rodents (147). High carbohydrate diets that are in a liquid or gel form produce more

substantial hyperphagia and weight gain compared to pelleted or powdered dry diets (51, 132, 133) and even powdering food has been reported to negate the body weight changes observed between pelleted low-fat control diets and high-fat and western diets (47). In the context of a particular diet promoting fat gain to allow examination of the metabolic complications of obesity it is important to consider how difficult the diet is to consume as this may not be dependent on the macronutrient content of the particular diet.

Choice of the most suitable control diet is also important. It is very common for a “chow” diet to be used as a low fat control diet as it is a cheaper alternative to a matched semi-purified diet. However, the content of “chow” is often not well defined and the sources of macronutrients used by different suppliers can vary due to availability and cost. Warden *et al* discuss the problems of using chow control diets (184). However, it is worth noting, as discussed above that semi-purified low fat control diets, generally using starch as the carbohydrate source, can produce similar levels of obesity as a high fat diet if the diet is powdered (47). Due to the different physical properties of carbohydrates and lipids it is possible that in a dried and pelleted form high fat diets are significantly more palatable than high starch diets.

Isocaloric diets

Due to the differences in energy density between carbohydrate and fats (16kJ/g carbohydrate, 37kJ/g fat) (189) comparing HFD with low fat control diets will result in a HFD that is more calorically dense than the control diet. For this reason, many investigators normalize the energy per gram of the diet by the addition of non-digestible ingredients such as cellulose or inulin. The benefits of this approach is that

it provides a more accurate way in which to measure food intake as well as reducing the difference in food volume eaten by feeding diets with different energy densities. However, the effect of large amounts of non-digestible fiber may have effects on the microbiome (32), satiety, gastric emptying and the incretin response (123) that must be taken into consideration when planning or analysing results from any dietary study. Altering the diet by the addition of fibre to increase short chain fatty acid production from gut fermentation has been shown to have beneficial effects on systemic insulin sensitivity (9). Another important key point in designing animal studies is that the diets meet the animal's minimal nutrient requirements, especially for protein, vitamins and minerals, to eliminate the possibility of overconsumption of the diet to fulfil needs for specific nutrients.

Thermoneutrality

Recently, there has been considerable discussion about the applicability to human diseases of studies using rodents housed at temperatures (19-24°C) which are more attuned to suiting the comfort of the human researchers than the comfort of the rodents. Mice expend a significant amount of energy on thermogenesis at temperatures of 19-24°C that can mask the development of metabolic and other phenotypes that might be central to the aims of the particular study (27). For example, in the case of uncoupling protein (UCP)-1 KO animals, a paradoxical phenotype that showed a resistance to high fat diet was observed at 20°C, but not at 27°C indicating the relevance of housing temperature to understand the role of UCP-1 in energy balance (98). In another example Castillo *et al* reported that mice with deletion of type 2 deiodinase (DIO2; enzyme in thyroid hormone metabolism) have no phenotype at 22°C but became more glucose intolerant and obese at 30°C on a high fat diet (28).

Therefore, many are advocating housing mice at “thermoneutral” temperatures (28°C-34°C) where they expend minimal energy on thermogenesis (99, 101). However, others have argued that mice with adequate nesting material that are group housed may have a much lower thermoneutral housing temperature approximating 20-22°C (154).

Hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp procedure

The hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp in rats (22, 87) and mice (182) was adapted from the human procedure (pioneered by DeFronzo *et al* (42)) and is considered the gold-standard for assessing insulin sensitivity *in vivo*. In the human procedure, insulin is often infused sequentially at two different rates (2-step clamp), first a lower rate in order to quantify the contribution of HGP, and then a higher rate in which it is assumed that HGP is completely suppressed, to assess the contribution of the periphery. In comparison, the rodent model generally utilizes the infusion of radioactive glucose tracers to measure HGP (either by isotope dilution or disappearance of a tracer bolus) and therefore is generally conducted at only one rate of insulin infusion. However, it is worth considering that at high insulin doses, HGP may be completely suppressed, therefore when trying to discern differences in hepatic insulin sensitivity it may be beneficial to utilize a lower insulin infusion rate (121, 152). Ayala *et al* provide an in-depth investigation into the considerations when designing a clamp study in mice (4, 5) including insulin doses, use of anaesthesia and site of blood sampling. Standard operating procedures for the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp in mice (5) and rats (70) have been described in detail. As with the other considerations outlined in this review, when designing clamp studies in rodents, some thought should be given to

whether the primary outcome required from the procedure is accurate assessment of hepatic or peripheral insulin sensitivity.

Conclusion

The heterogeneity of metabolic phenotypes reported in the literature for HFD models of DIO (outlined in Table 1) may be in part due to the disparity in percentage fat content (ranging from around 45% all the way up to 95%) and the specific source of fat. There continues to be no standardized definition of a “high fat diet” however it is quite clear that diets with a fat content greater than 40% promote systemic insulin resistance in all of the studies reviewed here (Table 1). These include both studies using chow and semi-purified diets as a control. There may be an inverse relation between the percentage of fat in the diet and insulin sensitivity however as the VHFDs generally have substantially reduced protein content it can be hard to make conclusion about the importance of the excess of one macronutrient over the lack of another. The evidence is less clear with the high sucrose/fructose diets, which seem to have a clear negative effect on hepatic insulin sensitivity but may not have as strong an effect on peripheral glucose disposal as a more traditional HFD. A summarised graphical depiction of the studies investigated in Table 1 comparing the peripheral and hepatic effects of varying diets on insulin sensitivity is illustrated in Figure 1.

Clearly, the molecular mechanisms that govern insulin sensitivity in humans are still not well understood. We believe that human research in this field is of vital importance however due to the difficulties and ethical problems that result in giving unhealthy diets to humans for long periods of time, it is still almost impossible to conduct well-controlled dietary studies in humans that require one group to gain

weight or impair metabolic health. This can result in particular research areas in which human data presents particularly conflicting results such as the effect of dietary sugar on insulin action. Although rodent experiments show clear detrimental effects of high dietary sucrose/fructose on insulin sensitivity, human studies have been much more mixed, potentially due to fears about leaving humans on unhealthy diets for long periods of time or due to rodents having a higher dietary sugar percentage (40). The use of rodents to model the metabolic effect of diets on humans remains controversial, with some groups seeing no translatable potential (90). However, rodents remain a useful tool to investigate the mechanisms that drive insulin resistance, which we know is an evolutionary conserved phenomenon present in mammals and some non-mammal vertebrates (58, 194). Rat and mice dietary models investigating insulin action are therefore still required as they have more relevance to human diet-induced metabolic syndrome than monogenic models of insulin resistance and provide important tools for the evaluation of novel therapeutic agents for insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. However, the field is moving away from focussing solely on the idea that HFDs generate a generic and equivalent insulin resistance. An array of different diets which effect insulin action in both negative and positive ways are now being used including variations in protein type and content to create a new dimension in the macronutrient composition of diets (153). These on-going and future studies will provide a new perspective on the effects of diet on metabolic health in rodents, which may translate to better health outcomes in humans.

References:

1. **Abdul-Ghani MA, DeFronzo RA.** Pathogenesis of Insulin Resistance in Skeletal Muscle. *Journal of Biomedicine and Biotechnology* (2010). doi: 10.1155/2010/476279.
2. **Adams JM, Pratipanawatr T, Berria R, Wang E, DeFronzo RA, Sullards MC, Mandarino LJ.** Ceramide content is increased in skeletal muscle from

- 588 obese insulin-resistant humans. *Diabetes* 53: 25–31, 2004.
- 589 3. **Amengual-Cladera E, Lladó I, Gianotti M, Proenza AM.** Sex differences
590 in the effect of high-fat diet feeding on rat white adipose tissue mitochondrial
591 function and insulin sensitivity. *Metab Clin Exp* 61: 1108–1117, 2012.
- 592 4. **Ayala JE, Bracy DP, McGuinness OP, Wasserman DH.** Considerations in
593 the design of hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamps in the conscious mouse.
594 *Diabetes* 55: 390–397, 2006.
- 595 5. **Ayala JE, Samuel VT, Morton GJ, Obici S, Croniger CM, Shulman GI,**
596 **Wasserman DH, McGuinness OP, NIH Mouse Metabolic Phenotyping**
597 **Center Consortium.** Standard operating procedures for describing and
598 performing metabolic tests of glucose homeostasis in mice. *Dis Model Mech*
599 3: 525–534, 2010.
- 600 6. **Basciano H, Federico L, Adeli K.** Fructose, insulin resistance, and
601 metabolic dyslipidemia | Nutrition & Metabolism | Full Text. *Nutr Metab*
602 *(Lond)* 2: 5, 2005.
- 603 7. **Bell KS, Schmitz-Peiffer C, Lim-Fraser M, Biden TJ, Cooney GJ,**
604 **Kraegen EW.** Acute reversal of lipid-induced muscle insulin resistance is
605 associated with rapid alteration in PKC- θ localization. *Am J Physiol*
606 *Endocrinol Metab* 279: E1196–201, 2000.
- 607 8. **Berglund ED, Li CY, Poffenberger G, Ayala JE, Fueger PT, Willis SE,**
608 **Jewell MM, Powers AC, Wasserman DH.** Glucose metabolism in vivo in
609 four commonly used inbred mouse strains. *Diabetes* 57: 1790–1799, 2008.
- 610 9. **Besten den G, Havinga R, Bleeker A, Rao S, Gerding A, van Eunen K,**
611 **Groen AK, Reijngoud D-J, Bakker BM.** The short-chain fatty acid uptake
612 fluxes by mice on a guar gum supplemented diet associate with amelioration
613 of major biomarkers of the metabolic syndrome. *PLoS ONE* 9: e107392, 2014.
- 614 10. **Bielohuby M, Sisley S, Sandoval D, Herbach N, Zengin A, Fischereder M,**
615 **Menhofer D, Stoehr BJM, Stemmer K, Wanke R, Tschöp MH, Seeley RJ,**
616 **Bidlingmaier M.** Impaired glucose tolerance in rats fed low-carbohydrate,
617 high-fat diets. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 305: E1059–70, 2013.
- 618 11. **Borrás C, Sastre J, García-Sala D, Lloret A, Pallardó FV, Viña J.**
619 Mitochondria from females exhibit higher antioxidant gene expression and
620 lower oxidative damage than males. *Free Radic Biol Med* 34: 546–552, 2003.
- 621 12. **Bosma M, Kersten S, Hesselink MKC, Schrauwen P.** Re-evaluating
622 lipotoxic triggers in skeletal muscle: relating intramyocellular lipid
623 metabolism to insulin sensitivity. *Prog Lipid Res* 51: 36–49, 2012.
- 624 13. **Bouchard C, Tremblay A, Després JP, Nadeau A, Lupien PJ, Thériault**
625 **G, Dussault J, Moorjani S, Pinault S, Fournier G.** The response to long-
626 term overfeeding in identical twins. *N Engl J Med* 322: 1477–1482, 1990.
- 627 14. **Brandon AE, Hoy AJ, Wright LE, Turner N, Hegarty BD, Iseli TJ, Julia**
628 **Xu X, Cooney GJ, Saha AK, Ruderman NB, Kraegen EW.** The evolution
629 of insulin resistance in muscle of the glucose infused rat. *Archives of*
630 *Biochemistry and Biophysics* 509: 133–141, 2011.
- 631 15. **Brown MS, Goldstein JL.** The SREBP pathway: regulation of cholesterol
632 metabolism by proteolysis of a membrane-bound transcription factor. *Cell* 89:
633 331–340, 1997.
- 634 16. **Brown MS, Goldstein JL.** Selective versus total insulin resistance: a
635 pathogenic paradox. *Cell Metab* 7: 95–96, 2008.
- 636 17. **Bruce CR, Kriketos AD, Cooney GJ, Hawley JA.** Disassociation of muscle
637 triglyceride content and insulin sensitivity after exercise training in patients

- 638 with Type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia* 47: 23–30, 2004.
- 639 18. **Bruce CR, Thrush AB, Mertz VA, Bezair V, Chabowski A,**
640 **Heigenhauser GJF, Dyck DJ.** Endurance training in obese humans improves
641 glucose tolerance and mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation and alters muscle
642 lipid content. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 291: E99–E107, 2006.
- 643 19. **Brøns C, Jensen CB, Storgaard H, Hiscock NJ, White A, Appel JS,**
644 **Jacobsen S, Nilsson E, Larsen CM, Astrup A, Quistorff B, Vaag A.**
645 Impact of short-term high-fat feeding on glucose and insulin metabolism in
646 young healthy men. *J Physiol (Lond)* 587: 2387–2397, 2009.
- 647 20. **Buettner R, Parhofer KG, Woenckhaus M, Wrede CE, Kunz-Schughart**
648 **LA, Schölmerich J, Bollheimer LC.** Defining high-fat-diet rat models:
649 metabolic and molecular effects of different fat types. *J Mol Endocrinol* 36:
650 485–501, 2006.
- 651 21. **Buettner R, Schölmerich J, Bollheimer LC.** High-fat diets: modeling the
652 metabolic disorders of human obesity in rodents. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 15:
653 798–808, 2007.
- 654 22. **Burnol AF, Leturque A, Ferré P, Girard J.** A method for quantifying
655 insulin sensitivity in vivo in the anesthetized rat: the euglycemic insulin
656 clamp technique coupled with isotopic measurement of glucose turnover.
657 *Reprod Nutr Dev* 23: 429–435, 1983.
- 658 23. **Busserolles J, Mazur A, Gueux E, Rock E, Rayssiguier Y.** Metabolic
659 syndrome in the rat: females are protected against the pro-oxidant effect of a
660 high sucrose diet. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)* 227: 837–842, 2002.
- 661 24. **Byrnes SE, Miller JC, Denyer GS.** Amylopectin starch promotes the
662 development of insulin resistance in rats. *J Nutr* 125: 1430–1437, 1995.
- 663 25. **Campbell SE, Febbraio MA.** Effect of ovarian hormones on mitochondrial
664 enzyme activity in the fat oxidation pathway of skeletal muscle. *Am J Physiol*
665 *Endocrinol Metab* 281: E803–8, 2001.
- 666 26. **Cannon B, Nedergaard J.** Brown adipose tissue: function and physiological
667 significance. *Physiol Rev* 84: 277–359, 2004.
- 668 27. **Cannon B, Nedergaard J.** Nonshivering thermogenesis and its adequate
669 measurement in metabolic studies. *J Exp Biol* 214: 242–253, 2011.
- 670 28. **Castillo M, Hall JA, Correa-Medina M, Ueta C, Kang HW, Cohen DE,**
671 **Bianco AC.** Disruption of thyroid hormone activation in type 2 deiodinase
672 knockout mice causes obesity with glucose intolerance and liver steatosis
673 only at thermoneutrality. *Diabetes* 60: 1082–1089, 2011.
- 674 29. **Català-Niell A, Estrany ME, Proenza AM, Gianotti M, Lladó I.** Skeletal
675 muscle and liver oxidative metabolism in response to a voluntary isocaloric
676 intake of a high fat diet in male and female rats. *Cell Physiol Biochem* 22:
677 327–336, 2008.
- 678 30. **Chalkley SM, Hettiarachchi M, Chisholm DJ, Kraegen EW.** Long-term
679 high-fat feeding leads to severe insulin resistance but not diabetes in Wistar
680 rats. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 282: E1231–8, 2002.
- 681 31. **Chang G-R, Chiu Y-S, Wu Y-Y, Lin Y-C, Hou P-H, Mao FC.** Rapamycin
682 impairs HPD-induced beneficial effects on glucose homeostasis. *Br J*
683 *Pharmacol* 172: 3793–3804, 2015.
- 684 32. **Chassaing B, Miles-Brown J, Pellizzon M, Ulman E, Ricci M, Zhang L,**
685 **Patterson AD, Vijay-Kumar M, Gewirtz AT.** Lack of soluble fiber drives
686 diet-induced adiposity in mice. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 309:
687 G528–41, 2015.

- 688 33. **Chavez JA, Knotts TA, Wang L-P, Li G, Dobrowsky RT, Florant GL,**
689 **Summers SA.** A role for ceramide, but not diacylglycerol, in the antagonism
690 of insulin signal transduction by saturated fatty acids. *J Biol Chem* 278:
691 10297–10303, 2003.
- 692 34. **Chavez JA, Summers SA.** A ceramide-centric view of insulin resistance.
693 *Cell Metab* 15: 585–594, 2012.
- 694 35. **Clarke SD.** The multi-dimensional regulation of gene expression by fatty
695 acids: polyunsaturated fats as nutrient sensors. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 15: 13–18,
696 2004.
- 697 36. **Clore JN, Helm ST, Blackard WG.** Loss of hepatic autoregulation after
698 carbohydrate overfeeding in normal man. *J Clin Invest* 96: 1967–1972, 1995.
- 699 37. **Crescenzo R, Bianco F, Mazzoli A, Giacco A, Liverini G, Iossa S.** A
700 possible link between hepatic mitochondrial dysfunction and diet-induced
701 insulin resistance. *Eur J Nutr* 55: 1–6, 2016.
- 702 38. **Crofford OB, Davis CK.** Growth characteristics, glucose tolerance and
703 insulin sensitivity of new zealand obese mice. *Metab Clin Exp* 14: 271–280,
704 1965.
- 705 39. **D'Angelo G, Elmarakby AA, Pollock DM, Stepp DW.** Fructose feeding
706 increases insulin resistance but not blood pressure in Sprague-Dawley rats.
707 *Hypertension* 46: 806–811, 2005.
- 708 40. **Daly M.** Sugars, insulin sensitivity, and the postprandial state. *Am J Clin*
709 *Nutr* 78: 865S–872S, 2003.
- 710 41. **De Vogel-van den Bosch J, van den Berg SAA, Bijland S, Voshol PJ,**
711 **Havekes LM, Romijn HA, Hoeks J, van Beurden D, Hesselink MKC,**
712 **Schrauwen P, van Dijk KW.** High-fat diets rich in medium- versus long-
713 chain fatty acids induce distinct patterns of tissue specific insulin resistance.
714 *The Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry* 22: 366–371, 2011.
- 715 42. **DeFronzo RA, Tobin JD, Andres R.** Glucose clamp technique: a method
716 for quantifying insulin secretion and resistance. *Am J Physiol* 237: E214–23,
717 1979.
- 718 43. **DeFronzo RA, Tripathy D.** Skeletal muscle insulin resistance is the primary
719 defect in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 32 Suppl 2: S157–63, 2009.
- 720 44. **DeFronzo RA.** Pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Med Clin North Am*
721 88: 787–835–ix, 2004.
- 722 45. **Dela F, Helge JW.** Insulin resistance and mitochondrial function in skeletal
723 muscle. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 45: 11–15, 2013.
- 724 46. **DeLany JP, Windhauser MM, Champagne CM, Bray GA.** Differential
725 oxidation of individual dietary fatty acids in humans. *Am J Clin Nutr* 72:
726 905–911, 2000.
- 727 47. **Desmarchelier C, Ludwig T, Scheundel R, Rink N, Bader BL,**
728 **Klingenspor M, Daniel H.** Diet-induced obesity in ad libitum-fed mice: food
729 texture overrides the effect of macronutrient composition. *Br J Nutr* 109:
730 1518–1527, 2012.
- 731 48. **Diepenbroek C, Eggels L, Ackermans MT, Fliers E, Kalsbeek A, Serlie**
732 **MJ, la Fleur SE.** Differential effects of hypercaloric choice diets on insulin
733 sensitivity in rats. *J Endocrinol* 232: 49–57, 2017.
- 734 49. **Divisová J, Kazdová L, Hubová M, Meschisvili E.** Relationship between
735 insulin resistance and muscle triglyceride content in nonobese and obese
736 experimental models of insulin resistance syndrome. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 967:
737 440–445, 2002.

- 738 50. **Drel VR, Mashtalir N, Ilnytska O, Shin J, Li F, Lyzogubov VV,**
739 **Obrosova IG.** The leptin-deficient (ob/ob) mouse: a new animal model of
740 peripheral neuropathy of type 2 diabetes and obesity. *Diabetes* 55: 3335–
741 3343, 2006.
- 742 51. **Ellacott KLJ, Morton GJ, Woods SC, Tso P, Schwartz MW.** Assessment
743 of feeding behavior in laboratory mice. *Cell Metab* 12: 10–17, 2010.
- 744 52. **Erion DM, Shulman GI.** Diacylglycerol-mediated insulin resistance. *Nat*
745 *Med* 16: 400–402, 2010.
- 746 53. **Farese RV, Zechner R, Newgard CB, Walther TC.** The problem of
747 establishing relationships between hepatic steatosis and hepatic insulin
748 resistance. *Cell Metab* 15: 570–573, 2012.
- 749 54. **Flegal KM, Shepherd JA, Looker AC, Graubard BI, Borrud LG, Ogden**
750 **CL, Harris TB, Everhart JE, Schenker N.** Comparisons of percentage
751 body fat, body mass index, waist circumference, and waist-stature ratio in
752 adults. *Am J Clin Nutr* 89: 500–508, 2009.
- 753 55. **Furler SM, Cooney GJ, Hegarty BD, Lim-Fraser MY, Kraegen EW,**
754 **Oakes ND.** Local factors modulate tissue-specific NEFA utilization:
755 assessment in rats using 3H-(R)-2-bromopalmitate. *Diabetes* 49: 1427–1433,
756 2000.
- 757 56. **Galbo T, Perry RJ, Jurczak MJ, Camporez J-PG, Alves TC, Kahn M,**
758 **Guigni BA, Serr J, Zhang D, Bhanot S, Samuel VT, Shulman GI.**
759 Saturated and unsaturated fat induce hepatic insulin resistance independently
760 of TLR-4 signaling and ceramide synthesis in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*
761 110: 12780–12785, 2013.
- 762 57. **Gleason RE, Lauris V, Soeldner JS.** Studies on experimental diabetes in the
763 Wellesley hybrid mouse. 3. Dietary effects and similar changes in a
764 commercial Swiss-Hauschka strain. *Diabetologia* 3: 175–178, 1967.
- 765 58. **Graham P, Pick L.** Drosophila as a Model for Diabetes and Diseases of
766 Insulin Resistance. *Curr Top Dev Biol* 121: 397–419, 2017.
- 767 59. **Grundleger ML, Thenen SW.** Decreased insulin binding, glucose transport,
768 and glucose metabolism in soleus muscle of rats fed a high fat diet. *Diabetes*
769 31: 232–237, 1982.
- 770 60. **Han D-H, Hancock C, Jung S-R, Holloszy JO.** Is “fat-induced” muscle
771 insulin resistance rapidly reversible? *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 297:
772 E236–41, 2009.
- 773 61. **Hariri N, Thibault L.** High-fat diet-induced obesity in animal models. *Nutr*
774 *Res Rev* 23: 270–299, 2010.
- 775 62. **Hevener A, Reichart D, Janez A, Olefsky J.** Female rats do not exhibit free
776 fatty acid-induced insulin resistance. *Diabetes* 51: 1907–1912, 2002.
- 777 63. **Higa TS, Spinola AV, Fonseca-Alaniz MH, Evangelista FSA.** Comparison
778 between cafeteria and high-fat diets in the induction of metabolic dysfunction
779 in mice. *Int J Physiol Pathophysiol Pharmacol* 6: 47–54, 2014.
- 780 64. **Higgins JA, Brand Miller JC, Denyer GS.** Development of insulin
781 resistance in the rat is dependent on the rate of glucose absorption from the
782 diet. *J Nutr* 126: 596–602, 1996.
- 783 65. **Hoehn KL, Hohnen-Behrens C, Cederberg A, Wu LE, Turner N, Yuasa**
784 **T, Ebina Y, James DE.** IRS1-independent defects define major nodes of
785 insulin resistance. *Cell Metab* 7: 421–433, 2008.
- 786 66. **Holland WL, Brozinick JT, Wang L-P, Hawkins ED, Sargent KM, Liu Y,**
787 **Narra K, Hoehn KL, Knotts TA, Siesky A, Nelson DH, Karathanasis SK,**

788 **Fontenot GK, Birnbaum MJ, Summers SA.** Inhibition of ceramide
789 synthesis ameliorates glucocorticoid-, saturated-fat-, and obesity-induced
790 insulin resistance. *Cell Metab* 5: 167–179, 2007.

791 67. **Horton TJ, Gayles EC, Prach PA, Koppenhafer TA, Pagliassotti MJ.**
792 Female rats do not develop sucrose-induced insulin resistance. *Am J Physiol*
793 272: R1571–6, 1997.

794 68. **Hoy AJ, Bruce CR, Cederberg A, Turner N, James DE, Cooney GJ,**
795 **Kraegen EW.** Glucose infusion causes insulin resistance in skeletal muscle
796 of rats without changes in Akt and AS160 phosphorylation. *Am J Physiol*
797 *Endocrinol Metab* 293: E1358–64, 2007.

798 69. **Hue L, Taegtmeier H.** The Randle cycle revisited: a new head for an old hat.
799 *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 297: E578–91, 2009.

800 70. **Hughey CC, Hittel DS, Johnsen VL, Shearer J.** Hyperinsulinemic-
801 euglycemic clamp in the conscious rat. *J Vis Exp* : e2432–e2432, 2011.

802 71. **Hussain TA, Mathew TC, Dashti AA, Asfar S, Al-Zaid N, Dashti HM.**
803 Effect of low-calorie versus low-carbohydrate ketogenic diet in type 2
804 diabetes. *Nutrition* 28: 1016–1021, 2012.

805 72. **Itani SI, Ruderman NB, Schmieder F, Boden G.** Lipid-induced insulin
806 resistance in human muscle is associated with changes in diacylglycerol,
807 protein kinase C, and IkappaB-alpha. *Diabetes* 51: 2005–2011, 2002.

808 73. **Jackson RA, Roshania RD, Hawa MI, Sim BM, DiSilvio L.** Impact of
809 glucose ingestion on hepatic and peripheral glucose metabolism in man: an
810 analysis based on simultaneous use of the forearm and double isotope
811 techniques. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 63: 541–549, 1986.

812 74. **James DE, Jenkins AB, Kraegen EW.** Heterogeneity of insulin action in
813 individual muscles in vivo: euglycemic clamp studies in rats. *Am J Physiol*
814 248: E567–74, 1985.

815 75. **Jang C, Oh SF, Wada S, Rowe GC, Liu L, Chan MC, Rhee J, Hoshino A,**
816 **Kim B, Ibrahim A, Baca LG, Kim E, Ghosh CC, Parikh SM, Jiang A,**
817 **Chu Q, Forman DE, Lecker SH, Krishnaiah S, Rabinowitz JD, Weljie**
818 **AM, Baur JA, Kasper DL, Arany Z.** A branched-chain amino acid
819 metabolite drives vascular fatty acid transport and causes insulin resistance.
820 *Nat Med* 22: 421–426, 2016.

821 76. **Janssen I, Heymsfield SB, Wang ZM, Ross R.** Skeletal muscle mass and
822 distribution in 468 men and women aged 18–88 yr. *J Appl Physiol* 89: 81–88,
823 2000.

824 77. **Jelenik T, Kaul K, Séquaris G, Flögel U, Phielix E, Kotzka J, Knebel B,**
825 **Fahlbusch P, Hörbelt T, Lehr S, Reinbeck AL, Müller-Wieland D,**
826 **Esposito I, Shulman GI, Szendroedi J, Roden M.** Mechanisms of Insulin
827 Resistance in Primary and Secondary Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver. *Diabetes* 66:
828 2241–2253, 2017.

829 78. **Jelenik T, Séquaris G, Kaul K, Ouwens DM, Phielix E, Kotzka J, Knebel**
830 **B, Weiß J, Reinbeck AL, Janke L, Nowotny P, Partke H-J, Zhang D,**
831 **Shulman GI, Szendroedi J, Roden M.** Tissue-specific differences in the
832 development of insulin resistance in a mouse model for type 1 diabetes.
833 *Diabetes* 63: 3856–3867, 2014.

834 79. **Jornayvaz FR, Jurczak MJ, Lee H-Y, Birkenfeld AL, Frederick DW,**
835 **Zhang D, Zhang X-M, Samuel VT, Shulman GI.** A high-fat, ketogenic diet
836 causes hepatic insulin resistance in mice, despite increasing energy
837 expenditure and preventing weight gain. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 299:

- 838 E808–15, 2010.
- 839 80. **Justo R, Boada J, Frontera M, Oliver J, Bermúdez J, Gianotti M.** Gender
840 dimorphism in rat liver mitochondrial oxidative metabolism and biogenesis.
841 *Am J Physiol, Cell Physiol* 289: C372–8, 2005.
- 842 81. **Kelley D, Mitrakou A, Marsh H, Schwenk F, Benn J, Sonnenberg G,**
843 **Arcangeli M, Aoki T, Sorensen J, Berger M.** Skeletal muscle glycolysis,
844 oxidation, and storage of an oral glucose load. *J Clin Invest* 81: 1563–1571,
845 1988.
- 846 82. **Kelley DE, Goodpaster BH, Storlien L.** Muscle triglyceride and insulin
847 resistance. *Annu Rev Nutr* 22: 325–346, 2002.
- 848 83. **Kergoat M, Bailbé D, Portha B.** Effect of high sucrose diet on insulin
849 secretion and insulin action: a study in the normal rat. *Diabetologia* 30: 252–
850 258, 1987.
- 851 84. **Kowalski GM, Bruce CR.** The regulation of glucose metabolism:
852 implications and considerations for the assessment of glucose homeostasis in
853 rodents. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 307: E859–71, 2014.
- 854 85. **Kowalski GM, Hamley S, Selathurai A, Kloehn J, De Souza DP,**
855 **O'Callaghan S, Nijagal B, Tull DL, McConville MJ, Bruce CR.** Reversing
856 diet-induced metabolic dysregulation by diet switching leads to altered
857 hepatic de novo lipogenesis and glycerolipid synthesis. *Sci Rep* 6: 27541,
858 2016.
- 859 86. **Kraegen EW, Clark PW, Jenkins AB, Daley EA, Chisholm DJ, Storlien**
860 **LH.** Development of muscle insulin resistance after liver insulin resistance in
861 high-fat-fed rats. *Diabetes* 40: 1397–1403, 1991.
- 862 87. **Kraegen EW, James DE, Bennett SP, Chisholm DJ.** In vivo insulin
863 sensitivity in the rat determined by euglycemic clamp. *Am J Physiol* 245: E1–
864 7, 1983.
- 865 88. **Kraegen EW, James DE, Storlien LH, Burleigh KM, Chisholm DJ.** In
866 vivo insulin resistance in individual peripheral tissues of the high fat fed rat:
867 assessment by euglycaemic clamp plus deoxyglucose administration.
868 *Diabetologia* 29: 192–198, 1986.
- 869 89. **Kuhlmann J, Neumann-Haefelin C, Belz U, Kramer W, Juretschke H-P,**
870 **Herling AW.** Correlation between insulin resistance and intramyocellular
871 lipid levels in rats. *Magn Reson Med* 53: 1275–1282, 2005.
- 872 90. **Lai M, Chandrasekera PC, Barnard ND.** You are what you eat, or are you?
873 The challenges of translating high-fat-fed rodents to human obesity and
874 diabetes. *Nutr Diabetes* 4: e135, 2014.
- 875 91. **Lamont BJ, Waters MF, Andrikopoulos S.** A low-carbohydrate high-fat
876 diet increases weight gain and does not improve glucose tolerance, insulin
877 secretion or β -cell mass in NZO mice. *Nutr Diabetes* 6: e194, 2016.
- 878 92. **Lavigne C, Tremblay F, Asselin G, Jacques H, Marette A.** Prevention of
879 skeletal muscle insulin resistance by dietary cod protein in high fat-fed rats.
880 *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 281: E62–71, 2001.
- 881 93. **Lee P, Swarbrick MM, Ho KKY.** Brown adipose tissue in adult humans: a
882 metabolic renaissance. *Endocr Rev* 34: 413–438, 2013.
- 883 94. **Lee YS, Li P, Huh JY, Hwang IJ, Lu M, Kim JI, Ham M, Talukdar S,**
884 **Chen A, Lu WJ, Bandyopadhyay GK, Schwendener R, Olefsky J, Kim**
885 **JB.** Inflammation is necessary for long-term but not short-term high-fat diet-
886 induced insulin resistance. *Diabetes* 60: 2474–2483, 2011.
- 887 95. **Leyton J, Drury PJ, Crawford MA.** Differential oxidation of saturated and

unsaturated fatty acids in vivo in the rat. *Br J Nutr* 57: 383–393, 1987.

96. **Lindström P.** The physiology of obese-hyperglycemic mice [ob/ob mice]. *ScientificWorldJournal* 7: 666–685, 2007.

97. **Liu M, Montgomery MK, Fiveash CE, Osborne B, Cooney GJ, Bell-Anderson K, Turner N.** PPAR α -independent actions of omega-3 PUFAs contribute to their beneficial effects on adiposity and glucose homeostasis. *Sci Rep* 4: 5538, 2014.

98. **Liu X, Rossmeisl M, McClaine J, Riachi M, Harper M-E, Kozak LP.** Paradoxical resistance to diet-induced obesity in UCP1-deficient mice. *J Clin Invest* 111: 399–407, 2003.

99. **Lodhi IJ, Semenkovich CF.** Why we should put clothes on mice. *Cell Metab* 9: 111–112, 2009.

100. **Lynch CJ, Adams SH.** Branched-chain amino acids in metabolic signalling and insulin resistance. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 10: 723–736, 2014.

101. **Maloney SK, Fuller A, Mitchell D, Gordon C, Overton JM.** Translating animal model research: does it matter that our rodents are cold? *Physiology (Bethesda)* 29: 413–420, 2014.

102. **Marques C, Meireles M, Norberto S, Leite J, Freitas J, Pestana D, Faria A, Calhau C.** High-fat diet-induced obesity Rat model: a comparison between Wistar and Sprague-Dawley Rat. *Adipocyte* 5: 11–21, 2015.

103. **Martins AR, Nachbar RT, Gorjao R, Vinolo MA, Festuccia WT, Lambertucci RH, Cury-Boaventura MF, Silveira LR, Curi R, Hirabara SM.** Mechanisms underlying skeletal muscle insulin resistance induced by fatty acids: importance of the mitochondrial function. *Lipids Health Dis* 11: 30, 2012.

104. **Martire SI, Holmes N, Westbrook RF, Morris MJ.** Altered feeding patterns in rats exposed to a palatable cafeteria diet: increased snacking and its implications for development of obesity. *PLoS ONE* 8: e60407, 2013.

105. **Montgomery MK, Fiveash CE, Braude JP, Osborne B, Brown SHJ, Mitchell TW, Turner N.** Disparate metabolic response to fructose feeding between different mouse strains. *Sci Rep* 5: 18474, 2015.

106. **Montgomery MK, Hallahan NL, Brown SH, Liu M, Mitchell TW, Cooney GJ, Turner N.** Mouse strain-dependent variation in obesity and glucose homeostasis in response to high-fat feeding. *Diabetologia* 56: 1129–1139, 2013.

107. **Montgomery MK, Osborne B, Brown SHJ, Small L, Mitchell TW, Cooney GJ, Turner N.** Contrasting metabolic effects of medium- versus long-chain fatty acids in skeletal muscle. *The Journal of Lipid Research* 54: 3322–3333, 2013.

108. **Moore MC, Smith MS, Farmer B, Kraft G, Shiota M, Williams PE, Cherrington AD.** Priming Effect of a Morning Meal on Hepatic Glucose Disposition Later in the Day. *Diabetes* (February 7, 2017). doi: 10.2337/db16-1308.

109. **Muoio DM, Newgard CB.** Fatty acid oxidation and insulin action: when less is more. *Diabetes* 57: 1455–1456, 2008.

110. **Nadal-Casellas A, Amengual-Cladera E, Proenza AM, Lladó I, Gianotti M.** Long-term high-fat-diet feeding impairs mitochondrial biogenesis in liver of male and female rats. *Cell Physiol Biochem* 26: 291–302, 2010.

111. **Nandi A, Kitamura Y, Kahn CR, Accili D.** Mouse models of insulin resistance. *Physiol Rev* 84: 623–647, 2004.

- 938 112. **Neschen S, Morino K, Dong J, Wang-Fischer Y, Cline GW, Romanelli**
939 **AJ, Rossbacher JC, Moore IK, Regittnig W, Munoz DS, Kim JH,**
940 **Shulman GI.** n-3 Fatty acids preserve insulin sensitivity in vivo in a
941 peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- α -dependent manner. *Diabetes*
942 56: 1034–1041, 2007.
- 943 113. **Newgard CB, An J, Bain JR, Muehlbauer MJ, Stevens RD, Lien LF,**
944 **Haqq AM, Shah SH, Arlotto M, Slentz CA, Rochon J, Gallup D,**
945 **Ilkayeva O, Wenner BR, Yancy WS, Eisenson H, Musante G, Surwit RS,**
946 **Millington DS, Butler MD, Svetkey LP.** A branched-chain amino acid-
947 related metabolic signature that differentiates obese and lean humans and
948 contributes to insulin resistance. *Cell Metab* 9: 311–326, 2009.
- 949 114. **Oakes ND, Bell KS, Furler SM, Camilleri S, Saha AK, Ruderman NB,**
950 **Chisholm DJ, Kraegen EW.** Diet-induced muscle insulin resistance in rats
951 is ameliorated by acute dietary lipid withdrawal or a single bout of exercise:
952 parallel relationship between insulin stimulation of glucose uptake and
953 suppression of long-chain fatty acyl-CoA. *Diabetes* 46: 2022–2028, 1997.
- 954 115. **Oliva ME, Chicco AG, Lombardo YB.** Soya protein reverses dyslipidaemia
955 and the altered capacity of insulin-stimulated glucose utilization in the
956 skeletal muscle of sucrose-rich diet-fed rats. *Br J Nutr* 102: 60–68, 2009.
- 957 116. **Omar B, Pacini G, Ahrén B.** Differential development of glucose
958 intolerance and pancreatic islet adaptation in multiple diet induced obesity
959 models. *Nutrients* 4: 1367–1381, 2012.
- 960 117. **Ordóñez P, Moreno M, Alonso A, Llaneza P, Díaz F, González C.** 17 β -
961 Estradiol and/or progesterone protect from insulin resistance in STZ-induced
962 diabetic rats. *The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*
963 111: 287–294, 2008.
- 964 118. **Pagadala M, Kasumov T, McCullough AJ, Zein NN, Kirwan JP.** Role of
965 ceramides in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* 23:
966 365–371, 2012.
- 967 119. **Pagliassotti MJ, Gayles EC, Podolin DA, Wei Y, Morin CL.**
968 Developmental stage modifies diet-induced peripheral insulin resistance in
969 rats. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 278: R66–73, 2000.
- 970 120. **Pagliassotti MJ, Prach PA, Koppenhafer TA, Pan DA.** Changes in insulin
971 action, triglycerides, and lipid composition during sucrose feeding in rats. *Am*
972 *J Physiol* 271: R1319–26, 1996.
- 973 121. **Pagliassotti MJ, Shahrokhi KA, Moscarello M.** Involvement of liver and
974 skeletal muscle in sucrose-induced insulin resistance: dose-response studies.
975 *Am J Physiol* 266: R1637–44, 1994.
- 976 122. **Pan DA, Hulbert AJ, Storlien LH.** Dietary fats, membrane phospholipids
977 and obesity. *J Nutr* 124: 1555–1565, 1994.
- 978 123. **Papathanasopoulos A, Camilleri M.** Dietary fiber supplements: effects in
979 obesity and metabolic syndrome and relationship to gastrointestinal functions.
980 *Gastroenterology* 138: 65–72.e1–2, 2010.
- 981 124. **Park S-Y, Cho Y-R, Kim H-J, Higashimori T, Danton C, Lee M-K, Dey**
982 **A, Rothermel B, Kim Y-B, Kalinowski A, Russell KS, Kim JK.**
983 Unraveling the temporal pattern of diet-induced insulin resistance in
984 individual organs and cardiac dysfunction in C57BL/6 mice. *Diabetes* 54:
985 3530–3540, 2005.
- 986 125. **Pavlisova J, Bardova K, Stankova B, Tvrzicka E, Kopecky J, Rossmeisl**
987 **M.** Corn oil versus lard: Metabolic effects of omega-3 fatty acids in mice fed

988 obesogenic diets with different fatty acid composition. *Biochimie* 124: 150–
989 162, 2016.

990 126. **Paz-Filho G, Mastronardi C, Delibasi T, Wong M-L, Licinio J.**
991 Congenital leptin deficiency: diagnosis and effects of leptin replacement
992 therapy. *Arq Bras Endocrinol Metabol* 54: 690–697, 2010.

993 127. **Perry RJ, Samuel VT, Petersen KF, Shulman GI.** The role of hepatic
994 lipids in hepatic insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. *Nature* 510: 84–91,
995 2014.

996 128. **Philp LK, Heilbronn LK, Janovska A, Wittert GA.** Dietary enrichment
997 with fish oil prevents high fat-induced metabolic dysfunction in skeletal
998 muscle in mice. *PLoS ONE* 10: e0117494, 2015.

999 129. **Pilon G, Ruzzin J, Rioux L-E, Lavigne C, White PJ, Frøyland L, Jacques
1000 H, Bryl P, Beaulieu L, Marette A.** Differential effects of various fish
1001 proteins in altering body weight, adiposity, inflammatory status, and insulin
1002 sensitivity in high-fat-fed rats. *Metab Clin Exp* 60: 1122–1130, 2011.

1003 130. **Postic C, Dentin R, Girard J.** Role of the liver in the control of
1004 carbohydrate and lipid homeostasis. *Diabetes Metab* 30: 398–408, 2004.

1005 131. **Radavelli-Bagatini S, Blair AR, Proietto J, Spritzer PM, Andrikopoulos
1006 S.** The New Zealand obese mouse model of obesity insulin resistance and
1007 poor breeding performance: evaluation of ovarian structure and function. *J
1008 Endocrinol* 209: 307–315, 2011.

1009 132. **Ramirez I.** Overeating, overweight and obesity induced by an unpreferred
1010 diet. *Physiol Behav* 43: 501–506, 1988.

1011 133. **Ramirez I.** Strain differences in dietary hyperphagia: interactions with age
1012 and experience. *Physiol Behav* 49: 89–92, 1991.

1013 134. **Randle PJ, Garland PB, Hales CN, Newsholme EA.** The glucose fatty-acid
1014 cycle. Its role in insulin sensitivity and the metabolic disturbances of diabetes
1015 mellitus. *Lancet* 1: 785–789, 1963.

1016 135. **Ren L-P, Chan SMH, Zeng XY, Laybutt DR, Iseli TJ, Sun R-Q, Kraegen
1017 EW, Cooney GJ, Turner N, Ye J-M.** Differing endoplasmic reticulum
1018 stress response to excess lipogenesis versus lipid oversupply in relation to
1019 hepatic steatosis and insulin resistance. *PLoS ONE* 7: e30816, 2012.

1020 136. **Riant E, Waget A, Cogo H, Arnal J-F, Burcelin R, Gourdy P.** Estrogens
1021 Protect against High-Fat Diet-Induced Insulin Resistance and Glucose
1022 Intolerance in Mice. *Endocrinology* 150: 2109–2117, 2009.

1023 137. **Richter EA, Ploug T, Galbo H.** Increased muscle glucose uptake after
1024 exercise. No need for insulin during exercise. *Diabetes* 34: 1041–1048, 1985.

1025 138. **Rossetti L, Rothman DL, DeFronzo RA, Shulman GI.** Effect of dietary
1026 protein on in vivo insulin action and liver glycogen repletion. *Am J Physiol*
1027 257: E212–9, 1989.

1028 139. **Sakamoto E, Seino Y, Fukami A, Mizutani N, Tsunekawa S, Ishikawa K,
1029 Ogata H, Uenishi E, Kamiya H, Hamada Y, Sato H, Harada N, Toyoda Y,
1030 Miwa I, Nakamura J, Inagaki N, Oiso Y, Ozaki N.** Ingestion of a moderate
1031 high-sucrose diet results in glucose intolerance with reduced liver
1032 glucokinase activity and impaired glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion. *J
1033 Diabetes Investig* 3: 432–440, 2012.

1034 140. **Sampey BP, Vanhoose AM, Winfield HM, Freerman AJ, Muehlbauer
1035 MJ, Fueger PT, Newgard CB, Makowski L.** Cafeteria diet is a robust
1036 model of human metabolic syndrome with liver and adipose inflammation:
1037 comparison to high-fat diet. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 19: 1109–1117, 2011.

- 1038 141. **Samuel VT, Liu Z-X, Qu X, Elder BD, Bilz S, Befroy D, Romanelli AJ,**
1039 **Shulman GI.** Mechanism of hepatic insulin resistance in non-alcoholic fatty
1040 liver disease. *J Biol Chem* 279: 32345–32353, 2004.
- 1041 142. **Samuel VT, Petersen KF, Shulman GI.** Lipid-induced insulin resistance:
1042 unravelling the mechanism. *Lancet* 375: 2267–2277, 2010.
- 1043 143. **Samuel VT, Shulman GI.** The pathogenesis of insulin resistance: integrating
1044 signaling pathways and substrate flux. *J Clin Invest* 126: 12–22, 2016.
- 1045 144. **Samuels LT, Reinecke RM, Ball HA.** Effect of diet on glucose tolerance
1046 and liver and muscle glycogen of hypophysectomized and normal rats.
1047 *Endocrinology* 31: 42–45, 1942.
- 1048 145. **Santur  M, Pitre M, Marette A, Deshaies Y, Lemieux C, Larivi re R,**
1049 **Nadeau A, Bachelard H.** Induction of insulin resistance by high-sucrose
1050 feeding does not raise mean arterial blood pressure but impairs
1051 haemodynamic responses to insulin in rats. *Br J Pharmacol* 137: 185–196,
1052 2002.
- 1053 146. **Schmitz-Peiffer C, Craig DL, Biden TJ.** Ceramide generation is sufficient
1054 to account for the inhibition of the insulin-stimulated PKB pathway in C2C12
1055 skeletal muscle cells pretreated with palmitate. *J Biol Chem* 274: 24202–
1056 24210, 1999.
- 1057 147. **Sclafani A.** Carbohydrate taste, appetite, and obesity: an overview. *Neurosci*
1058 *Biobehav Rev* 11: 131–153, 1987.
- 1059 148. **Selathurai A, Kowalski GM, Burch ML, Sepulveda P, Risis S, Lee-**
1060 **Young RS, Lamon S, Meikle PJ, Genders AJ, McGee SL, Watt MJ,**
1061 **Russell AP, Frank M, Jackowski S, Febbraio MA, Bruce CR.** The CDP-
1062 Ethanolamine Pathway Regulates Skeletal Muscle Diacylglycerol Content
1063 and Mitochondrial Biogenesis without Altering Insulin Sensitivity. *Cell*
1064 *Metab* 21: 718–730, 2015.
- 1065 149. **Sethi JK, Vidal-Puig AJ.** Thematic review series: adipocyte biology.
1066 Adipose tissue function and plasticity orchestrate nutritional adaptation. *The*
1067 *Journal of Lipid Research* 48: 1253–1262, 2007.
- 1068 150. **Shen L, Keenan MJ, Raggio A, Williams C, Martin RJ.** Dietary-resistant
1069 starch improves maternal glycemic control in Goto-Kakizaki rat. *Mol Nutr*
1070 *Food Res* 55: 1499–1508, 2011.
- 1071 151. **Shoelson SE.** Inflammation and insulin resistance. *J Clin Invest* 116: 1793–
1072 1801, 2006.
- 1073 152. **Smith D, Rossetti L, Ferrannini E, Johnson CM, Cobelli C, Toffolo G,**
1074 **Katz LD, DeFronzo RA.** In vivo glucose metabolism in the awake rat: tracer
1075 and insulin clamp studies. *Metab Clin Exp* 36: 1167–1174, 1987.
- 1076 153. **Solon-Biet SM, McMahon AC, Ballard JWO, Ruohonen K, Wu LE,**
1077 **Cogger VC, Warren A, Huang X, Pichaud N, Melvin RG, Gokarn R,**
1078 **Khalil M, Turner N, Cooney GJ, Sinclair DA, Raubenheimer D, Le**
1079 **Couteur DG, Simpson SJ.** The ratio of macronutrients, not caloric intake,
1080 dictates cardiometabolic health, aging, and longevity in ad libitum-fed mice.
1081 *Cell Metab* 19: 418–430, 2014.
- 1082 154. **Speakman JR, Keijer J.** Not so hot: Optimal housing temperatures for mice
1083 to mimic the thermal environment of humans. *Mol Metab* 2: 5–9, 2012.
- 1084 155. **Stannard SR, Johnson NA.** Insulin resistance and elevated triglyceride in
1085 muscle: more important for survival than “thrifty” genes? *J Physiol (Lond)*
1086 554: 595–607, 2004.
- 1087 156. **Storlien LH, Baur LA, Kriketos AD, Pan DA, Cooney GJ, Jenkins AB,**

- 1088 **Calvert GD, Campbell LV.** Dietary fats and insulin action. *Diabetologia* 39:
1089 621–631, 1996.
- 1090 157. **Storlien LH, Higgins JA, Thomas TC, Brown MA, Wang HQ, Huang XF,**
1091 **Else PL.** Diet composition and insulin action in animal models. *Br J Nutr* 83
1092 Suppl 1: S85–90, 2000.
- 1093 158. **Storlien LH, Jenkins AB, Chisholm DJ, Pascoe WS, Khouri S, Kraegen**
1094 **EW.** Influence of dietary fat composition on development of insulin
1095 resistance in rats. Relationship to muscle triglyceride and omega-3 fatty acids
1096 in muscle phospholipid. *Diabetes* 40: 280–289, 1991.
- 1097 159. **Storlien LH, Kraegen EW, Chisholm DJ, Ford GL, Bruce DG, Pascoe**
1098 **WS.** Fish oil prevents insulin resistance induced by high-fat feeding in rats.
1099 *Science* 237: 885–888, 1987.
- 1100 160. **Storlien LH, Kraegen EW, Jenkins AB, Chisholm DJ.** Effects of sucrose
1101 vs starch diets on in vivo insulin action, thermogenesis, and obesity in rats.
1102 *Am J Clin Nutr* 47: 420–427, 1988.
- 1103 161. **Stratford S, Hoehn KL, Liu F, Summers SA.** Regulation of insulin action
1104 by ceramide - Dual mechanisms linking ceramide accumulation to the
1105 inhibition of Akt/protein kinase B. *J Biol Chem* 279: 36608–36615, 2004.
- 1106 162. **Sumiyoshi M, Sakanaka M, Kimura Y.** Chronic intake of high-fat and
1107 high-sucrose diets differentially affects glucose intolerance in mice. *J Nutr*
1108 136: 582–587, 2006.
- 1109 163. **Summers SA.** Ceramides in insulin resistance and lipotoxicity. *Prog Lipid*
1110 *Res* 45: 42–72, 2006.
- 1111 164. **Sun H, Jiang T, Wang S, He B, Zhang Y, Piao D, Yu C, Wu N, Han P.**
1112 The effect of LXR alpha, ChREBP and Elovl6 in liver and white adipose
1113 tissue on medium- and long-chain fatty acid diet-induced insulin resistance.
1114 *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 102: 183–192, 2013.
- 1115 165. **Sun K, Kusminski CM, Scherer PE.** Adipose tissue remodeling and obesity.
1116 *J Clin Invest* 121: 2094–2101, 2011.
- 1117 166. **Surwit RS, Feinglos MN, Rodin J, Sutherland A, Petro AE, Opara EC,**
1118 **Kuhn CM, Rebuffé-Scrive M.** Differential effects of fat and sucrose on the
1119 development of obesity and diabetes in C57BL/6J and A/J mice. *Metab Clin*
1120 *Exp* 44: 645–651, 1995.
- 1121 167. **Surwit RS, Kuhn CM, Cochrane C, McCubbin JA, Feinglos MN.** Diet-
1122 induced type II diabetes in C57BL/6J mice. *Diabetes* 37: 1163–1167, 1988.
- 1123 168. **Susini C, Lavau M.** In-vitro and in-vivo responsiveness of muscle and
1124 adipose tissue to insulin in rats rendered obese by a high-fat diet. *Diabetes*
1125 27: 114–120, 1978.
- 1126 169. **Szendroedi J, Yoshimura T, Phielix E, Koliaki C, Marcucci M, Zhang D,**
1127 **Jelenik T, Müller J, Herder C, Nowotny P, Shulman GI, Roden M.** Role
1128 of diacylglycerol activation of PKC θ in lipid-induced muscle insulin
1129 resistance in humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 111: 9597–9602, 2014.
- 1130 170. **Thorburn AW, Storlien LH, Jenkins AB, Khouri S, Kraegen EW.**
1131 Fructose-induced in vivo insulin resistance and elevated plasma triglyceride
1132 levels in rats. *Am J Clin Nutr* 49: 1155–1163, 1989.
- 1133 171. **Thresher JS, Podolin DA, Wei Y, Mazzeo RS, Pagliassotti MJ.**
1134 Comparison of the effects of sucrose and fructose on insulin action and
1135 glucose tolerance. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 279: R1334–40,
1136 2000.
- 1137 172. **Timmers S, de Vogel-van den Bosch J, de Wit N, Schaart G, van**

- 1138 **Beurden D, Hesselink M, van der Meer R, Schrauwen P.** Differential
1139 effects of saturated versus unsaturated dietary fatty acids on weight gain and
1140 myocellular lipid profiles in mice. *Nutr Diabetes* 1: e11, 2011.
- 1141 173. **Timmers S, De Vogel-van den Bosch J, Hesselink MKC, van Beurden D,**
1142 **Schaart G, Ferraz MJ, Losen M, Martinez-Martinez P, De Baets MH,**
1143 **Aerts JMFG, Schrauwen P.** Paradoxical increase in TAG and DAG content
1144 parallel the insulin sensitizing effect of unilateral DGAT1 overexpression in
1145 rat skeletal muscle. *PLoS ONE* 6: e14503, 2011.
- 1146 174. **Tobin V, Le Gall M, Fioramonti X, Stolarczyk E, Blazquez AG, Klein C,**
1147 **Prigent M, Serradas P, Cuif M-H, Magnan C, Leturque A, Brot-Laroche**
1148 **E.** Insulin internalizes GLUT2 in the enterocytes of healthy but not insulin-
1149 resistant mice. *Diabetes* 57: 555–562, 2008.
- 1150 175. **Toledo FGS.** Mitochondrial involvement in skeletal muscle insulin resistance.
1151 *Diabetes* 63: 59–61, 2014.
- 1152 176. **Turner N, Bruce CR, Beale SM, Hoehn KL, So T, Rolph MS, Cooney GJ.**
1153 Excess lipid availability increases mitochondrial fatty acid oxidative capacity
1154 in muscle: evidence against a role for reduced fatty acid oxidation in lipid-
1155 induced insulin resistance in rodents. *Diabetes* 56: 2085–2092, 2007.
- 1156 177. **Turner N, Cooney GJ, Kraegen EW, Bruce CR.** Fatty acid metabolism,
1157 energy expenditure and insulin resistance in muscle. *J Endocrinol* 220: T61–
1158 79, 2014.
- 1159 178. **Turner N, Hariharan K, TidAng J, Frangioudakis G, Beale SM, Wright**
1160 **LE, Zeng XY, Leslie SJ, Li J-Y, Kraegen EW, Cooney GJ, Ye J-M.**
1161 Enhancement of muscle mitochondrial oxidative capacity and alterations in
1162 insulin action are lipid species dependent: potent tissue-specific effects of
1163 medium-chain fatty acids. *Diabetes* 58: 2547–2554, 2009.
- 1164 179. **Turner N, Kowalski GM, Leslie SJ, Risis S, Yang C, Lee-Young RS,**
1165 **Babb JR, Meikle PJ, Lancaster GI, Henstridge DC, White PJ, Kraegen**
1166 **EW, Marette A, Cooney GJ, Febbraio MA, Bruce CR.** Distinct patterns of
1167 tissue-specific lipid accumulation during the induction of insulin resistance in
1168 mice by high-fat feeding. *Diabetologia* 56: 1638–1648, 2013.
- 1169 180. **Um SH, Frigerio F, Watanabe M, Picard F, Joaquin M, Sticker M,**
1170 **Fumagalli S, Allegrini PR, Kozma SC, Auwerx J, Thomas G.** Absence of
1171 S6K1 protects against age- and diet-induced obesity while enhancing insulin
1172 sensitivity. *Nature* 431: 200–205, 2004.
- 1173 181. **van den Berg SA, Guigas B, Bijland S, Ouwens M, Voshol PJ, Frants RR,**
1174 **Havekes LM, Romijn JA, van Dijk KW.** High levels of dietary stearate
1175 promote adiposity and deteriorate hepatic insulin sensitivity. *Nutr Metab*
1176 *(Lond)* 7: 24, 2010.
- 1177 182. **Veroni MC, Proietto J, Larkins RG.** Evolution of insulin resistance in New
1178 Zealand obese mice. *Diabetes* 40: 1480–1487, 1991.
- 1179 183. **Wang B, Chandrasekera PC, Pippin JJ.** Leptin- and leptin receptor-
1180 deficient rodent models: relevance for human type 2 diabetes. *Curr Diabetes*
1181 *Rev* 10: 131–145, 2014.
- 1182 184. **Warden CH, Fisler JS.** Comparisons of diets used in animal models of high-
1183 fat feeding. *Cell Metab* 7: 277, 2008.
- 1184 185. **Wasserman DH.** Four grams of glucose. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*
1185 296: E11–21, 2009.
- 1186 186. **Wei Y, Chen K, Whaley-Connell AT, Stump CS, Ibdah JA, Sowers JR.**
1187 Skeletal muscle insulin resistance: role of inflammatory cytokines and

1188 reactive oxygen species. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 294:
 1189 R673–80, 2008.
 1190 187. **Weijers RNM**. Lipid composition of cell membranes and its relevance in
 1191 type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Curr Diabetes Rev* 8: 390–400, 2012.
 1192 188. **Wein S, Wolfram S, Schrezenmeir J, Gasperikova D, Klimes I,**
 1193 **Seboekova E**. Medium-chain fatty acids ameliorate insulin resistance caused
 1194 by high-fat diets in rats. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 25: 185–194, 2009.
 1195 189. **Westerterp-Plantenga MS**. Effects of energy density of daily food intake on
 1196 long-term energy intake. *Physiol Behav* 81: 765–771, 2004.
 1197 190. **Wilkes JJ, Bonen A, Bell RC**. A modified high-fat diet induces insulin
 1198 resistance in rat skeletal muscle but not adipocytes. *Am J Physiol* 275: E679–
 1199 86, 1998.
 1200 191. **Winters B, Mo Z, Brooks-Asplund E, Kim S, Shoukas A, Li D, Nyhan D,**
 1201 **Berkowitz DE**. Reduction of obesity, as induced by leptin, reverses
 1202 endothelial dysfunction in obese (Lep(ob)) mice. *J Appl Physiol* 89: 2382–
 1203 2390, 2000.
 1204 192. **Yoon M-S, Choi CS**. The role of amino acid-induced mammalian target of
 1205 rapamycin complex 1(mTORC1) signaling in insulin resistance. *Exp Mol*
 1206 *Med* 48: e201, 2016.
 1207 193. **Yu C, Chen Y, Cline GW, Zhang D, Zong H, Wang Y, Bergeron R, Kim**
 1208 **JK, Cushman SW, Cooney GJ, Atcheson B, White MF, Kraegen EW,**
 1209 **Shulman GI**. Mechanism by which fatty acids inhibit insulin activation of
 1210 insulin receptor substrate-1 (IRS-1)-associated phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase
 1211 activity in muscle. *J Biol Chem* 277: 50230–50236, 2002.
 1212 194. **Zang L, Shimada Y, Nishimura N**. Development of a Novel Zebrafish
 1213 Model for Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *Sci Rep* 7: 1461, 2017.
 1214 195. **Zurlo F, Larson K, Bogardus C, Ravussin E**. Skeletal muscle metabolism
 1215 is a major determinant of resting energy expenditure. *J Clin Invest* 86: 1423–
 1216 1427, 1990.
 1217
 1218

Table 1 Rodent hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp studies comparing the effects of dietary content.

Predominant Energy Source	Citation	Rodent Sex/Strain/Age or weight#	Diet (energy % of macronutrient)	Control /Comparative Diet	Length of Diet (days)	Muscle Glucose Uptake (% control)	Hepatic Glucose Production [†] (Δ % control)	Glucose Infusion Rate (% control)
Saturated Fat*	(158)	Male Wistar Rats (age 54 days)	Tallow (59% fat, 20% carb, 21% protein)	Standard chow (12% fat, 65% carb, 23% protein)	30	27 (RQ)	40	43
	(116)	Female C57BL6/J mice (age 6 weeks)	Lard (60% fat, 20% carb, 20% protein) (Research Diets #D12492)	Sucrose, starch. (10% fat, 70% carb {35% sucrose}, 20% protein) (research diet #D12450B)	56	NR	NR	55
	(56)	Male C57BL6/J mice (age 7-8 weeks)	Lard (60% fat, 20% carb, 20% protein) (Research Diets #D12492)	Standard chow (energy not given)	10	NR	31	55
	(41)	Male C57BL6/J mice (age 12 weeks)	Long-chain triacylglycerol (75% palmitate) (source not reported) (45% fat, 30% carb, 25% protein)	Standard chow (12% fat, 61% carb, 27% protein)	56	NR	31	40
	(79)	Male C57BL6/J mice (age 7 weeks)	Lard (95.1% fat, 0.4% carb, 4.5% protein) (F3666 Bio-Serv).	Standard chow (17% fat, 60% carb, 23% protein)	35	123 (Q)	28	44
	(10)	Male Wistar Rats (age 12 weeks)	Tallow (78.7% fat, 2.2% carb, 19.1% protein)	Starch (semipurified) 16.7% fat, 64.3% carb, 19% protein)	28	NR	84	40
	(10)	Male Wistar Rats (age 12 weeks)	Tallow (92.8% fat, 1.7% carb, 5.5% protein)	Starch (semipurified) 16.7% fat, 64.3% carb, 19% protein)	28	NR	115	20
<i>Medium Chain Fatty Acids</i>	(178)	Male Wistar Rats (age 8 weeks)	Hydrogenated coconut oil (59% fat, 20% carb, 21% protein)	Standard chow (12% fat, 65% carb, 23% protein)	35	90 (RQ)	13	82
	(188)	Male Wistar Rats (~280g start of study)	Low fat basal diet supplemented with octanoic- (70%)/decanoic (30%) acid.	Standard chow (energy not given)	28	NR	NR	90

Table 1 Rodent hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp studies comparing the effects of dietary content. (continued)

Predominant Energy Source	Citation	Rodent Sex/Strain/Age or weight#	Diet (energy % of macronutrient)	Control /Comparative Diet	Length of Diet (days)	Muscle Glucose Uptake (% control)	Hepatic Glucose Production† (Δ % control)	Glucose Infusion Rate (% control)
	(116)	Female C57BL6/J mice (age 6 weeks)	Hydrogenated coconut oil (58% fat, 25.5% carb {13% sucrose}, 16.4% protein)(Research Diets #D12331).	Sucrose, starch. (10% fat, 70% carb {35% sucrose}, 20% protein) (Research Diets #D12450B)	56	NR	NR	78
	(41)	Male C57BL6/J mice (age 12 weeks)	Medium-chain triacylglycerol (source not reported) (45% fat, 30% carb, 25% protein)	Standard chow (12% fat, 61% carb, 27% protein)	56	NR	18	41
<i>High Fat, High Sucrose (Surwit)</i>	(181)	Male C57BL6/J mice (age 14 weeks)	Lard (45% fat, 35% carb {17.5% sucrose}, 20% protein) (Research Diets #D12451).	Sucrose, starch. (10% fat, 70% carb {35% sucrose}, 20% protein) (Research Diets #D12450B)	35	NR	40 (Difference in suppression)	28
	(179)	Male C57BL6/J mice (age 8-12 weeks)	Cocoa butter (43% fat, 40% carb {32% sucrose}, 17% protein) (Specialty feeds # SF01-028)	Standard chow (5% fat, 72% carb, 23% protein)	7, 21, 42, 112	112, 64, 48, 54 (Q)	23, 38, 39, 38	69, 42, 44, 38
Monounsaturated Fat	(158)	Male Wistar Rats (age 54 days)	Olive oil (59% fat, 20% carb, 21% protein)	Standard chow (12% fat, 65% carb, 23% protein)	30	68 (RQ)	14	63
Polyunsaturated Fat	(88)	Male Wistar Rats (age 90-120 days)	Safflower oil (60% fat, 20% carb, 20% protein)	Standard chow (12% fat, 65% carb, 23% protein)	22	57 (RQ)	NR	66
	(159)	Male Wistar Rats (age 60 days)	Safflower oil (59% fat, 20% carb, 21% protein)	Standard chow (12% fat, 65% carb, 23% protein)	29	58 (RG)	34	48
	(158)	Male Wistar Rats (age 54 days)	Safflower oil (59% fat, 20% carb, 21% protein)	Standard chow (12% fat, 65% carb, 23% protein)	30	38 (RQ)	22	50
	(86)	Male Wistar Rats (300-380g final weight)	Safflower oil (59% fat, 20% carb, 21% protein)	Cornstarch (10% fat, 69% carb, 21% protein)	3, 21	79 (RQ) (3 day only)	43, 37	41, 54
	(30)	Male Wistar Rats (age 2 months)	Safflower oil (59% fat, 20% carb, 21% protein)	Standard chow (10% fat, 65% carb, 25% protein)	300	49 (RQ)	45	32
	(178)	Male Wistar Rats (age 8 weeks)	Safflower oil (59% fat, 20% carb, 21% protein)	Standard chow (12% fat, 65% carb, 23% protein)	35	57 (RQ)	21	56

Table 1 Rodent hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp studies comparing the effects of dietary content. (continued)

Predominant Energy Source	Citation	Rodent Sex/Strain/Age or weight#	Diet (energy % of macronutrient)	Control /Comparative Diet	Length of Diet (days)	Muscle Glucose Uptake (% control)	Hepatic Glucose Production† (Δ % control)	Glucose Infusion Rate (% control)
	(119)	Male Sprague-Dawley rats (age 3, 8, 16, 56 weeks)	Corn oil (45% fat, 35% carb, 20% protein)	Cornstarch (12% fat, 68% carb, 20% protein)	35	57, 60, 50, 117 (S)	NR	R _d (72, 77, 75, 90)
	(112)	Male Sv/129 mice (age 10-12 weeks)	Safflower oil (59% fat, carb, protein not reported)	Standard chow (7% fat, carb, protein not reported)	14	116 (Q)	69	48
Marine Oils	(158)	Male Wistar Rats (age 54 days)	Safflower oil, fish oil (59% fat {18% fish oil}, 20% carb, 21% protein)	Standard chow (12% fat, 65% carb, 23% protein)	30	95 (RQ)	-3	94
	(112)	Male Sv/129 mice (age 10-12 weeks)	Safflower oil, fish oil (59% fat {22% fish oil}, carb, protein not reported)	Standard chow (7% fat, carb, protein not reported)	14	150 (Q)	24	75
	(159)	Male Wistar Rats (age 60 days)	Safflower oil, fish oil (59% fat {12% tuna oil}, 20% carb, 21% protein)	Standard chow (12% fat, 65% carb, 23% protein)	29	100 (RG)	5	94
Fructose/Sucrose	(83)	Male Wistar Rats (age 6 weeks)	Sucrose (10% fat, 63% carb {63% sucrose}, 27% protein)	Standard chow (13% fat, 61% carb, 26% protein)	28	NR	11	105
	(170)	Male Wistar rats (260g)	Fructose (10% fat, 69% carb {34.5% fructose}, 21% protein.	Glucose (10% fat, 69% carb {34.5% glucose}, 21% protein.	30	50 (RQ)	NR	63
	(116)	Female C57BL6/J mice (age 6 weeks)	Sucrose (11% fat, 73% carb {60% sucrose} 16.4% protein) (Research Diets #D12329)	Sucrose, starch. (10% fat, 70% carb {35% sucrose}, 20% protein) (Research Diets #D12450B)	56	NR	NR	105
	(121)	Male Wistar rats (180g)	Sucrose (12% fat, 68% carb {68% sucrose}, 20% protein)	Cornstarch (12% fat, 68% carb, 20% protein)	56	NR	63	48
	(120)	Male Wistar rats (200-220g)	Sucrose (12% fat, 68% carb {68% sucrose}, 20% protein)	Cornstarch (12% fat, 68% carb, 20% protein)	7, 14, 35, 56	NR	38, 65, 68, 71	60, 47, 40, 40
	(119)	Male Sprague-Dawley rats (age 3, 8, 16, 56 weeks)	Sucrose (12% fat, 68% carb {68% sucrose}, 20% protein)	Cornstarch (12% fat, 68% carb, 20% protein)	35	100, 74, 57, 91 (S)	NR	R _d (97, 84, 78, 87)

Table 1 Rodent hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp studies comparing the effects of dietary content. (continued)

Predominant Energy Source	Citation	Rodent Sex/Strain/Age or weight#	Diet (energy % of macronutrient)	Control /Comparative Diet	Length of Diet (days)	Muscle Glucose Uptake (% control)	Hepatic Glucose Production† (Δ % control)	Glucose Infusion Rate (% control)
	(39)	Male Sprague-Dawley rats (age 12 weeks)	Fructose (12% fat, 66% carb {66% fructose}, 22% protein)	Standard chow (4% fat, 72% carb, 24% protein)	56	NR	NR	55

The studies in this table were identified by searching for “hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp in mice/rats” in addition to each dietary subtype (for example “high sucrose/fructose diet”). Studies focussing on transgenic rodent lines or pharmaceutical intervention were generally excluded due to a primary focus on comparisons between genotype or drug. Additionally, due to many of the studies controlling for energy intake either through pair feeding or isocaloric diets, we have not included body weight gain. Reporting insulin levels during the clamp was not an exclusion criteria as many of the studies were performed before this became commonplace. There is significant heterogeneity between clamp methodologies between groups, including rates of insulin infusion, anaesthesia, fasting time, bleeding from the tail, vein or artery and glucose tracers used. These may all have effects on the rates gained from the experiment as described by Ayala *et al* (4, 5). Consequently, glucose metabolic rates are shown as per cent of the control diet as the actual rates show significant disparity, making comparison between different studies more difficult. In studies where data was presented in graphs and exact numbers were not reported, percentages were estimated from the graphs displayed and may vary slightly from the results obtained. R_d – Rate of glucose disappearance. RQ – Red quadriceps. Q – Quadriceps. RG – Red gastrocnemius. S – Soleus. NR – Not reported.

Age and weight at the start of study unless specified.

* Although lard and tallow contain high levels of saturated fat (38-50%), they can be higher in monounsaturated fatty acids. However due to relatively high level of saturated fat compared to other fatty acid sources (safflower oil, olive oil, corn oil), they have been grouped with saturated fats.

† As many of the control groups had completely suppressed hepatic glucose production (HGP) (R_a = 0) it was not possible to express HGP as simply % control. Therefore, we calculated the difference in HGP between groups as a function of R_d in the following equation ((control diet GIR/R_d) - (test diet GIR/R_d)) *100). A higher number indicates that the test diet has a higher rate of HGP as a percentage of R_d.

1221

1222 **Figure 1 A comparison of peripheral and liver insulin sensitivity between**
1223 **different diets.** This graph is a visual depiction of the HGP and GIR values from
1224 Table 1, averaged between studies using the same broad diet classifications. The x
1225 and y axes rank diets based on their average HGP (liver) and GIR (periphery) from
1226 insulin sensitive to insulin resistant. As the HGP is a function of GIR and R_d , this
1227 graph is not meant to describe whether a specific diet produces greater insulin
1228 resistance in the liver compared to the periphery, rather it is a comparison between
1229 different diets. The data depicted is an average between different investigations with
1230 varying dietary makeups, rodent strains, length of diets and many other differences
1231 and should not be taken as a true comparative study (of which several exist in the
1232 literature (116, 158)). VHFD – very high fat diet. HFHS – high fat high sucrose. SFA
1233 – saturated fatty acids. PUFA – polyunsaturated fatty acids. MUFA –
1234 monounsaturated fatty acids. HSC – high simple carbohydrate (sucrose/fructose).
1235 MCFA – medium chain fatty acids.

