

XLP: Clinical Features and Molecular Etiology due to Mutations in SH2D1A Encoding SAP

Stuart G Tangye

Journal of Clinical Immunology

ISSN 0271-9142

Volume 34

Number 7

J Clin Immunol (2014) 34:772-779

DOI 10.1007/s10875-014-0083-7



Your article is protected by copyright and all rights are held exclusively by Springer Science +Business Media New York. This e-offprint is for personal use only and shall not be self-archived in electronic repositories. If you wish to self-archive your article, please use the accepted manuscript version for posting on your own website. You may further deposit the accepted manuscript version in any repository, provided it is only made publicly available 12 months after official publication or later and provided acknowledgement is given to the original source of publication and a link is inserted to the published article on Springer's website. The link must be accompanied by the following text: "The final publication is available at link.springer.com".

XLP: Clinical Features and Molecular Etiology due to Mutations in *SH2D1A* Encoding SAP

Stuart G Tangye

Received: 29 June 2014 / Accepted: 22 July 2014 / Published online: 2 August 2014
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2014

Abstract X-linked lymphoproliferative disease (XLP) is a rare primary immunodeficiency affecting approximately 1–2 per 1 million males. A key feature of XLP is the exquisite sensitivity of affected individuals to disease induced following EBV infection. However, patients can also develop hypogammaglobulinemia and B-cell lymphoma independently of exposure to EBV. XLP is caused by loss-of function mutations in *SH2D1A*, which encodes the intracellular adaptor molecule SAP. SAP is predominantly expressed in T cells and NK cells, and functions to regulate signal transduction pathways downstream of the SLAM family of surface receptors to control CD4+ T cell (and by extension B cells), CD8+ T cell and NK cell function, as well as the development of NKT cells. The study of XLP had shed substantial light on the requirements for lymphocyte differentiation and immune regulation, which in turn have the potential to be translated into novel treatments for not only XLP patients but individuals affected by EBV-induced disease, impaired humoral immunity and malignancy.

Keywords XLP · SAP · EBV · hypogammaglobulinemia · infectious mononucleosis · lymphoma · PID

Introduction

In a seminal paper published in 1975, David Purtilo and colleagues described 6 related males, of a total of 18 in a

single generation, from the Duncan kindred who were otherwise healthy but developed a fatal immune deficient condition characterised by “*benign or malignant proliferation of lymphocytes, histiocytosis and alterations in concentrations of serum immunoglobulin*” [1]. These features were accompanied by lymphoid infiltrates into non-immune tissues, and B-cell lymphoma in some affected patients. Strikingly, EBV infection and subsequent fulminant infectious mononucleosis (FIM) was observed in 3 patients, which led the authors to conclude that this represented a novel and rare immune defect triggered by exposure to EBV. As the affected males were all maternally related, and there were no affected females, it was concluded that this was a novel X-linked condition and thus was termed “X-linked recessive progressive combined variable immunodeficiency (Duncan’s disease)” [1]. Although this is often considered the first description of this condition, it is worth appreciating that both Bar et al. and Provisor et al. described in 1974 and 1975, respectively, overlapping clinical features associated with EBV infection in seven other males, all of whom were related in each of these studies [2, 3]. Remarkably these patients had normal responses to infections with other childhood viruses including varicella zoster and CMV. These three studies detailed the classic features of what is now known as X-linked lymphoproliferative disease (XLP). The XLP discussed here is also known as XLP type 1 (XLP-1), to distinguish it from another form of XLP – XLP type 2 (XLP-2) – which has some clinical similarities but is caused by mutations in *BIRC4*, encoding XIAP [4, 5]. Bar et al. presciently concluded that “*a genetically determined defect in host response to the virus is a likely explanation*” for the ability of EBV to induce the clinical sequelae of XLP [2]. Thus, XLP represents a primary immunodeficiency (PID) whereby affected males are exquisitely sensitive to disease caused by infection with a single pathogen – EBV – which usually runs a fairly benign course in most healthy individuals.

S. G. Tangye (✉)
Immunology Research Program, Garvan Institute of Medical Research, 384 Victoria St Darlinghurst, NSW 2010 Sydney, Australia
e-mail: s.tangye@garvan.org.au

S. G. Tangye
St Vincent’s Clinical School, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

Clinical Features of XLP

XLP has an incidence of ~1–2 per million males. It is typified by the triad of severe EBV-induced FIM and haemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (HLH), B-cell lymphoma and dysgammaglobulinaemia [6–16]. It is likely that exposure of XLP patients to EBV induces a vigorous and uncontrolled immune response involving activated lymphocytes and monocytes. Despite such immune activation, XLP patients fail to control EBV infection, resulting in FIM [11, 17]. Consequently, EBV infection can cause lymphadenopathy, hepatosplenomegaly and extensive tissue damage – especially to the liver and bone marrow – due to lymphocytic infiltration, subsequent HLH-like disease, often resulting in organ failure. Early reviews of the literature indicated that FIM occurred in ~60–75 % of cases, with >90 % mortality [6]. However, more recent studies indicate that while FIM still occurs in 30–40 % of patients, the subsequent mortality is reduced (~65 %) [14]. Despite these improvements in disease management over time, death still occurred very rapidly—within 2 months—in patients presenting with EBV-induced FIM/HLH [14].

Lymphoma and hypogammaglobulinemia affect ~25 % and 50 % of affected individuals, respectively, while aplastic anaemia, vasculitis and lymphoid granulomatosis have been reported in a small proportion of XLP patients. While FIM is clearly dependent on exposure to EBV, other features of XLP—lymphoma, hypogammaglobulinemia – develop in EBV seronegative patients, indicating that exposure to EBV is not required for all clinical features of this condition. Indeed, retrospective analysis of large cohorts of XLP patients revealed no significant difference in the incidence of lymphoma and hypogammaglobulinemia in EBV⁻ and EBV⁺ patients [9, 14]. Remarkably, there is also no significant difference in the median age of presentation, median age of death or mortality rate between EBV⁻ and EBV⁺ patients [6, 8–16].

Identification of the Genetic Lesion Underlying XLP

Although XLP was described as an immune deficient condition in the mid 1970's [1–3], and the disease-causing region of the X-chromosome was refined to Xq25 [16, 18–20], the molecular cause of this disease was not discovered until 1998, when 3 groups simultaneously identified a novel gene that is now known as *SH2D1A* [21–23]. The groups led by Alison Coffey [22] and Kim Nichols [23] were attempting to identify the causative gene by positional cloning. While these teams successfully identified loss-of function mutations in *SH2D1A* as the genetic cause of XLP, they were unable to provide a mechanism for how such mutations would manifest as a PID. Serendipitously, Cox Terhorst and colleagues were examining the biochemistry of the cell surface receptor SLAM

(signaling lymphocytic activation molecule), and their discovery that the protein encoded by *SH2D1A* – named SAP (SLAM-associating protein) – associated with SLAM provided clues to how SAP functioned and how mutations in *SH2D1A* could potentially contribute to disease [21].

Structure and Function of SAP

SH2D1A comprises 4 exons that encode a 128 amino acid (aa) protein comprised of a 5 aa N-terminal domain, a central SH2 domain (~100 aa), and ~20 aa C-terminal region [21–23]. SAP is highly conserved across many species, and is essentially nonpolymorphic [21–23]. Consequently, most missense mutations abolish SAP expression. SAP associates with the cytoplasmic domain of SLAM via a conserved immunoreceptor tyrosine-based switch motif (ITSM; TxYxxI/V [where “x” is any aa]) [21]. This feature, along with the fact that SAP was largely comprised of an SH2 domain predicted that SAP would function in the SLAM signaling pathway. However, this was confounded by the absence of any additional functional domains. The seminal study by Sayos and colleagues, however, critically demonstrated that SAP was capable of competing with another SH2-domain containing protein—the phosphatase SHP-2—for binding to SLAM, thereby limiting recruitment of SHP-2 to this receptor [21]. Specifically, the arginine residue at position 32 in SAP (R32) was critical for the interaction between SAP and SLAM [21].

SLAM exhibits homology to several other surface receptors belonging to the Ig superfamily; these include 2B4 (CD244), CD84, Ly9 (CD229), NTBA and CRACC that are expressed on a variety of haematopoietic cells [11, 12, 24, 25]. With the exception of 2B4, which recognises CD48, all of these receptors are homotypic self-ligands. Since these receptors also contain one or more ITSMs in their cytoplasmic domains it was perhaps not surprising that all of these receptors could interact with SAP via the R32 residue, and this binding also prevented recruitment of SH2-domain containing phosphatases [11, 12, 24, 25]. Interestingly, recruitment of SAP to SLAM did not require phosphorylation of the tyrosine residue within the ITSM, which is unusual for SH2-domain containing proteins. However, tyrosine phosphorylation did enhance and stabilize this interaction. In contrast to SLAM, recruitment of SAP to other SLAM-related receptors was strictly dependent on tyrosine phosphorylation [11, 12, 24, 25]. These findings led to a model whereby SAP functioned to regulate signaling downstream of SLAM-related receptors by controlling interactions between these receptors and phosphatases. Several elegant studies have indeed confirmed that SAP dramatically alters the function of SLAM receptors on lymphocytes inasmuch that SAP-deficiency renders them inhibitory, rather than activating, via the recruitment and/or activation of phosphatases [26–31].

Despite this model, SAP does not simply act by preventing the delivery of a potential negative signal mediated by a phosphatase. Indeed, SAP also functions as an adaptor molecule by interacting with the protein tyrosine kinase Fyn. Notably, the interaction between SAP and Fyn involves R78 in SAP—distinct from R32 required for SLAM/SAP associations—binding to a proline residue in the SH3 domain of Fyn. This, therefore, allows SAP to simultaneously bind both SLAM and Fyn to form a ternary SLAM/SAP/Fyn complex [32–34]. Fyn promotes further phosphorylation of the SLAM receptors, recruitment of various signaling intermediates and activation of downstream signaling pathways critical for some functions of SLAM receptors [29, 32, 35, 36]. In contrast, other functions of SAP do not require Fyn [37]; however these signaling pathways that are Fyn-independent remain to be fully elucidated.

Functions of SLAM Family Receptors

Even before SAP was discovered, the function of some SLAM family receptors had been investigated. Historically, 2B4 was the first identified SLAM receptor, having been cloned as a molecule expressed by murine NK cells that, when cross-linked, increased NK cell cytotoxicity in a non-MHC restricted manner [38, 39]. In the same year, a study by Valiante and Trinchieri described a novel cell surface molecule on human NK cells and a subset of CD8⁺ T cells [40]. Akin to murine 2B4, cross-linking of this novel receptor with a specific mAb induced NK cell cytotoxicity in a non-MHC restricted manner [40]. It was not until 1999 (six years later), when the human homologue of murine 2B4 was cloned [27, 41], that it became appreciated that Valiante and Trinchieri were actually characterizing human 2B4 [40].

SLAM was cloned in 1995 [42] and its main function was found to regulate cytokine production by polarized CD4⁺ T cell subsets [42, 43]. Several other SAP-associating receptors—NTB-A, CRACC—were identified subsequent to the cloning of SAP [26, 44]. Similar to 2B4, ligation of NTB-A or CRACC on NK cells increased their cytotoxic function [26, 44]. 2B4 and NTB-A also have important functions on CD8⁺ T cells [28, 40, 45] (discussed further below). Although CD84 and CD229 have been studied for their ability to recruit SAP (reviewed in [12, 24, 25]), the physiological function of these receptors, and whether such functions are regulated by SAP, remain to be thoroughly investigated.

Cellular Defects in XLP as an Explanation of Clinical Features of this Disease

Ex vivo analysis of lymphocyte subsets and function in XLP patients has revealed numerous cellular defects. These include impaired effector functions of NK cells, CD8⁺ T cells and

CD4⁺ T cells, a complete lack of NKT cells, and reduced frequencies of memory B cells. These findings point to critical functions of SAP in these processes, thereby providing mechanistic explanations for some of the clinical features of XLP.

Defects in Cytotoxic Lymphocytes

Sullivan et al. reported the first functional defects in lymphocytes from XLP patients as being impaired NK cell cytotoxicity [46], and this result was quickly confirmed in other studies [47]. These observations pre-dated the discovery of SAP by nearly 2 decades; thus the molecular defect underlying this perturbed function was unknown. However, subsequent studies in 2000 and 2001 found that the ability of 2B4 or NTB-A engagement to enhance NK cell cytotoxicity was abolished in SAP-deficient NK cells [26, 27, 48, 49]. Furthermore, the absence of SAP actually resulted in reduced killing of target cells by 2B4 or NTB-A-stimulated NK cells [26, 27]. These findings indicated that the ability of 2B4 and NTB-A to promote NK cell effector function was strictly SAP dependent, and were the first to propose the possibility that SAP deficiency converted these from activating to inhibitory receptors. Interestingly, although CRACC could also associate with SAP and promote lymphocyte cytotoxicity, XLP NK cells were still capable of responding to CRACC engagement [44], demonstrating that this receptor functions independently of SAP. NK cells from XLP patients could also respond normally to stimuli that did not require SAP, such as ligation of CD2 or CD16, establishing that NK cell function in general was not abolished by SAP deficiency [26, 27, 48, 49].

Early studies of CD8⁺ T cells from XLP patients also revealed them to have defects in responding to EBV-transformed B cells [47, 50]. Later studies of XLP patients, as well as heterozygous female carriers of XLP, revealed that SAP-deficient CD8⁺ T cells are also impaired in their responses to some stimuli, but not others. For instance, when peptides from different viruses were presented by B cells, SAP-deficient CD8⁺ T cells exhibited significantly reduced cytokine and cytotoxic responses compared to SAP-sufficient CD8⁺ T cells in response to the same Ag. However, responses by SAP-deficient and SAP-sufficient CD8⁺ T cells were comparable when the same peptides were presented by non-B cell Ag-presenting cells (DCs, monocytes, fibroblasts) [28, 45]. Thus, SAP-deficient CD8⁺ T cells have a selective impairment in responding to B cells. Consistent with prominent expression of 2B4 and NTB-A on CD8⁺ T cells, blocking mAbs against these receptors enhanced effector function of SAP-deficient CD8⁺ T cells in response to B cell APCs, consistent with the ability of these receptors to deliver inhibitory signals to CD8⁺ T cells in the absence of SAP [28, 45]. Thus, in the context of Ag presentation by B cells, successful engagement of specific CD8⁺ T cells by cognate B cells fundamentally requires interactions between SLAM family

receptors and subsequent SAP-dependent signaling in responding CD8⁺ T cells. Interestingly, a similar explanation underlies impaired development of NKT cells in XLP, inasmuch that cognate interactions between NKT cell precursors and CD1d-expressing cortical thymocytes are required for the selection of mature NKT cells and this process is mediated by SAP/Fyn signaling downstream of SLAM and Ly108 (NTB-A in humans) [51, 52].

The findings from SAP-deficient NK cells and CD8⁺ T cells, as well as the near-absence of NKT cells, provide mechanistic insight not only into the characteristic heightened susceptibility of XLP patients to EBV infection and disease but also the frequent development of B-lymphoma in these individuals. First, humans who lack NK cells or have profound functional NK cell defects, are often susceptible to infection with herpes viruses such as CMV, varicella zoster and EBV [53]. Thus, it is possible that the defective response of SAP-deficient NK cells following engagement of 2B4 or NTB-A by ligands expressed on EBV-infected B cells contributes to EBV-induced disease in XLP. A contribution of NK cells to controlling EBV infection is evidenced by the ability of a subset of tonsillar NK cells to potently suppress EBV-induced transformation of infected B cells in vitro [54, 55]. This is supported by recent work showing that in vivo depletion of NK cells in a humanized mouse model of EBV infection enhanced FIM-like symptoms as well as the development of B-cell lymphoma [56]. Interestingly, CD48 was initially characterized as a surface Ag “super-induced” on B cells following exposure to EBV [57]. Thus, engagement of 2B4 on NK cells by B-cell expressed CD48 may represent an early mechanism of NK-cell mediated control of viral infection, which would be impaired in XLP patients. Second, since EBV infects and resides in B cells during latent infection, the inability of SAP-deficient CD8⁺ T cells to respond to B cell APCs [28, 45] would render XLP patients exquisitely vulnerable to EBV infection. In contrast to these scenarios, residual NK function endowed by intact signaling through numerous other receptors, together with the ability of SAP-deficient CD8⁺ T cells to respond to Ag presented by non B-cells would ensure intact immune responses against infection with viruses other than EBV. Indeed, analysis of virus-specific CD8⁺ T cells in XLP carriers revealed that while >95 % of EBV-specific CD8⁺ T cells expressed SAP, those CD8⁺ T cells specific for influenza or CMV were detected in both the CD8⁺ SAP⁺ and SAP⁻ compartments [28]. Thus, these studies finally answered one of the original questions posed following the initial identification and characterization of XLP patients—why EBV? They revealed that EBV susceptibility was more to do with the exclusivity of EBV infecting B cells and the inability of SAP-deficient CD8⁺ T cells to respond to B cells than to the nature of EBV itself. This would predict that XLP patients would also be susceptible to viruses that utilize B cells as their host. However, there seems to be no

other viruses with these features. Indeed, while the related virus Kaposi's sarcoma herpes virus can infect B cells, it has also been detected in endothelial cells as well as monocytes that can activate KSHV-specific CD8⁺ T cells [58]. The poor responsiveness of SAP-deficient CD8⁺ T cells against B cells would also explain the heightened incidence of B-cell lymphoma in XLP patients, and lack of correlation between EBV infection and lymphoma development. It is possible that the deficiency in NKT cells also contributes to compromised immunity against EBV infection and/or B-cell lymphoma in XLP patients [12, 11, 24, 25]. Interestingly, some individuals with other immunodeficiencies characterised either by susceptibility to EBV infection or B-cell lymphoproliferation – due to mutations in *CD27*, *ITK*, *BIRC4* (encoding XIAP) or *CORO1* (encoding coronin 1A)—also have a reduction in NKT cell numbers (reviewed in [5]). While these observations are correlative, they do lend support for a possible role of NKT cells in immunity against EBV infection.

Impaired Humoral Immunity due to CD4⁺ T cell Intrinsic Defects

Early studies established that although hypogammaglobulinemia and/or poor production of Ag-specific Abs was a common feature of XLP patients [1, 8, 59–61], B cells or B-cell lines from these individuals exhibited normal function [62–65]. This suggested that defects in humoral immunity were secondary to impaired function of CD4⁺ T cells, which is consistent with the lack of expression of SAP in normal mature B cells. It was found that XLP CD4⁺ T cells have several defects, such as impaired IL-10 production, reduced ICOS expression and a compromised ability to provide “help” for the in vitro differentiation of co-cultured B cells [64], that were consistent with compromised generation of T follicular helper (T_{fh}) cells. T_{fh} cells are the specialized subset of CD4⁺ T cells responsible for T-cell dependent differentiation of naïve B cells into long-lived, high affinity memory and plasma cells [66–68]. This has since been confirmed from the analysis of SAP-deficient mice, who exhibited marked impediment in generating T_{fh} cells following immunization with protein Ag or infection with various pathogens (reviewed in [66–68]). This diminution in T_{fh} cells led to very poor formation of germinal centers (GCs), which are required for the generation of these B cell subsets. The requirement for SAP in T_{fh} formation appears to reflect a role for SLAM-related receptors—specifically CD84 and NTB-A (Ly108 in mice)—in the formation of conjugates between activated cognate CD4⁺ T cells and B cells that underpin the dynamic 2-way delivery of signals that guide the differentiation of T_{fh} and GC B cells [30, 69, 70]. Strikingly though, initial priming of SAP-deficient CD4⁺ T cells by DCs is intact [70]. Studies of SAP- and SLAM-deficient mice have complemented these observations by revealing a critical role for SLAM/SLAM interactions and SAP signaling in inducing IL-4 production

by Tfh cells [71]. These findings explain the paucity of memory B cells in XLP patients and the accompanying hypogammaglobulinemia and/or impaired generation of Ag-specific Ab responses [64, 65]; they are also consistent with the original description of XLP by David Purtilo who observed a lack of GCs in lymphoid tissues of most of these patients [1].

Collectively, these intrinsic defects in SAP-deficient cytotoxic lymphocytes, NKT cells and CD4+ T cells provide explanations for the major clinical features of XLP, these being susceptibility to EBV infection and subsequent HLH, B-cell dysfunction resulting in hypogammaglobulinemia and poor serological memory, and predisposition to developing B-lymphoma. The fact that defects in SAP-deficient Tfh cells and CD8+ T cells, in terms of recognizing B cells as APCs or target cells, is independent of EBV also explains why hypogammaglobulinemia and B-lymphoma occur with similar frequencies in EBV-seronegative and seropositive XLP patients [9, 14]. Indeed, the fact that hypogammaglobulinemia and B-lymphoma can occur in EBV naïve XLP patients lead to the discovery of several male patients who presented with these features of XLP but had not been exposed to EBV, and were actually diagnosed with different conditions [72, 73].

Investigation of lymphocyte responses in XLP patients, as well as SAP-deficient mice, have revealed parallels between the generation of requisite effector functions by CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells and NK cells, as well as NKT cell development, inasmuch that they all involve interactions between lymphocytes and are dependent on SAP signaling. On the other hand, functionality of these immune cells towards non-lymphocyte populations (eg DC priming of CD4+ T cells; recognition by CD8+ T cells of Ag presented by non-B cells; selection of conventional T cells on thymic epithelium) is SAP-independent and thus proceed normally in XLP patients [24]. [25]. Interestingly, SAP has also been found to be expressed in cells beyond NK and T cells, including eosinophils and platelets [25]. Thus, it is possible that defects in these cells also contribute to the pathophysiology of XLP. However, this requires further investigation.

Somatic Reversion

While early studies indicated a dire outcome for XLP patients, with mortality approaching ~100 % by 10 years of age [16], some patients do indeed live well beyond this expected time frame [9, 14]. In other immune deficiencies, patients presenting with a milder-than-expected phenotype have been found to have cells that have undergone somatic reversion, with the resulting reverted cells persisting due to a selective survival advantage conferred by the revertant mutation [74]. This is reminiscent of skewed inactivation of the X-chromosome carrying the mutant gene in B cells and T cells in female carriers of XLA and X-SCID, respectively [75, 76]. Although

no correlation has been reported between genotype and phenotype in XLP [9], we examined XLP patients for somatic reversion in the *SH2D1A* gene. To our surprise, we found that a small but detectable population of lymphocytes in most patients with missense mutations expressed SAP [77]. Detailed analysis of these SAP+ cells revealed them to be mostly effector memory CD8+ T cells. Reverted cells were not detected in the CD4-lineage, nor in naïve CD8+ T cells, and only in NK cells from 1 patient. Strikingly, reversion was only detected in EBV+ patients, and reverted SAP+ CD8+ T cells were not only specific for EBV Ags but also were capable of responding to EBV Ags presented by autologous B cells [77]. This suggests that EBV exerts a selective pressure on CD8+ T cells, facilitating the expansion (and detection) of revertant cells to a functional level such that they will be capable of having a physiological effect on EBV infection in some XLP patients. Thus, it is likely that the presence of this small population of EBV-specific revertant-SAP+ CD8+ T cells can adequately control EBV viral loads, thereby minimizing subsequent disease and resulting in greater longevity of some patients. However, as reversion was not detected in CD4+ T cells, humoral immune defects are likely to persist in these XLP patients. Despite this, since the greatest threat to survival of XLP patients is EBV infection, somatic reversion in XLP predicts that patients with missense mutations in *SH2D1A* may have a better long-term outcome than those with mutations that involve deletion of entire exons or large regions of Xq25.

Clinical Management of XLP Patients

Like many primary immunodeficiencies, haematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT) has been considered to be the only means of curing XLP [78]. The most recent comprehensive survey of the outcomes of HSCT indicated 81 % survival, compared to 62.5 % for untransplanted patients [14]. The major risk factor for successful HSCT was whether or not the patients had experienced previous episodes of HLH, with survival of those patients that had been affected by HLH being reduced by 50 % post-HSCT. Indeed, all patients who did not survive HSCT had HLH before or during the transplant, compared to ~20 % of those who did survive. Thus, transplanting XLP patients prior to the development of EBV-induced HLH would offer the greatest chance of survival and curing XLP.

XLP has also been treated with conventional anti-viral and immunosuppressive drugs, Ig replacement therapy, and chemotherapeutics for lymphoma [13, 16]. However, a novel approach has been the use of Rituximab to reduce EBV loads by eliminating B cells during primary EBV infection [79]. Although the number of patients tested is small, the outcomes were substantial, with marked reductions in EBV load and rapid recovery from the symptoms of FIM; consequently the

patients did not develop HLH [79]. A more recent development was a proof-of-principle assessment of the utility of gene therapy in a mouse model of XLP. Here, HSCs from SAP-deficient mice were transduced with lentiviral vectors encoding human SAP and used to reconstitute lethally irradiated SAP-deficient mice [80]. Although only ~50 % of lymphoid cells expressed transduced SAP, this was sufficient to restore basal serum Ig levels and NK cell cytotoxicity to those observed in wild-type (WT) mice. Furthermore, the generation of GCs and Ag-specific Ig in response to T-dependent Ags was also improved, approximating 50 % of the response of WT mice. In contrast, although lentiviral transduction of human SAP into SAP-deficient HSC yielded some NKT cells on transfer into SAP-deficient mice, the overall numbers corresponded to only ~15 % of those observed in WT mice. Despite this, these results are encouraging as they predict that introduction of SAP into only a proportion of lymphocytes will be sufficient to restore function in CD4⁺ T cells (and by extension B cells), NK cells and presumably CD8⁺ T cells [80]. This is reminiscent of the finding that expression of reverted SAP in <10 % of total CD8⁺ T cells in XLP patients appeared to be sufficient to provide some protection against chronic infection with EBV [77], raising the prospect that transduction of the WT gene into only a low frequency of HSCs could have significant benefit on the clinical course of XLP patients who undergo gene therapy.

Conclusion

The discovery of novel PIDs and the underlying genetic cause provides great opportunities to advance our knowledge not only with respect to molecular etiology of this condition but also the fundamental requirements for a functional immune system. XLP is a great example – its initial discovery as a disease entity nearly 40 years ago immediately informed us that whatever caused XLP was absolutely critical for normal host protection against EBV infection. The subsequent identification of *SH2D1A* as the molecular lesion responsible for XLP, together with refined studies of lymphocyte function in these patients, and the generation of gene-targeted mice, has illuminated our understanding of novel signaling pathways utilized by lymphocytes for their development and function, as well as revealed surface receptors and molecular interactions that are indispensable for anti-EBV and anti-tumour immunity as well as robust and long-lived humoral immune responses. These discoveries have improved therapies and outcomes for XLP patients and, by exploiting the SLAM/SAP signaling pathway(s), also raised the prospect for developing vaccines against EBV and enhancing immune mechanisms that restrain lymphomagenesis. Thus, while the incidence of XLP is rare, the clinical implications of these developments

will potentially benefit many people suffering from EBV-induced disease, haematological malignancies and dysfunctional Ab responses. This highlights the power of these rare PIDs as models for understanding immunobiology and advancing clinical management of often life-threatening conditions.

References

1. Purtilo DT, Cassel CK, Yang JP, Harper R. X-linked recessive progressive combined variable immunodeficiency (Duncan's disease). *Lancet*. 1975;1(7913):935–40.
2. Bar RS, DeLor CJ, Clausen KP, Hurtubise P, Henle W, Hewetson JF. Fatal infectious mononucleosis in a family. *N Engl J Med*. 1974;290(7):363–7. doi:10.1056/NEJM197402142900704.
3. Provisor AJ, Iacuone JJ, Chilcote RR, Neiburger RG, Crussi FG. Acquired agammaglobulinemia after a life-threatening illness with clinical and laboratory features of infectious mononucleosis in three related male children. *N Engl J Med*. 1975;293(2):62–5. doi:10.1056/NEJM197507102930202.
4. Rigaud S, Fondaneche MC, Lambert N, Pasquier B, Mateo V, Soulas P, et al. XIAP deficiency in humans causes an X-linked lymphoproliferative syndrome. *Nature*. 2006;444(7115):110–4. doi:10.1038/nature05257.
5. Veillette A, Perez-Quintero LA, Latour S. X-linked lymphoproliferative syndromes and related autosomal recessive disorders. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2013;13(6):614–22. doi:10.1097/ACI.0000000000000008.
6. Hamilton JK, Paquin LA, Sullivan JL, Maurer HS, Cruzei FG, Provisor AJ, et al. X-linked lymphoproliferative syndrome registry report. *J Pediatr*. 1980;96(4):669–73.
7. Purtilo DT, Sakamoto K, Barnabei V, Seeley J, Bechtold T, Rogers G, et al. Epstein-Barr virus-induced diseases in boys with the X-linked lymphoproliferative syndrome (XLP): update on studies of the registry. *Am J Med*. 1982;73(1):49–56.
8. Sullivan JL, Byron KS, Brewster FE, Baker SM, Ochs HD. X-linked lymphoproliferative syndrome. Natural history of the immunodeficiency. *J Clin Invest*. 1983;71(6):1765–78.
9. Sumegi J, Huang D, Lanyi A, Davis JD, Seemayer TA, Maeda A, et al. Correlation of mutations of the SH2D1A gene and Epstein-Barr virus infection with clinical phenotype and outcome in X-linked lymphoproliferative disease. *Blood*. 2000;96(9):3118–25.
10. Morra M, Howie D, Grande MS, Sayos J, Wang N, Wu C, et al. X-linked lymphoproliferative disease: a progressive immunodeficiency. *Annu Rev Immunol*. 2001;19:657–82. doi:10.1146/annurev.immunol.19.1.657.
11. Nichols KE, Ma CS, Cannons JL, Schwartzberg PL, Tangye SG. Molecular and cellular pathogenesis of X-linked lymphoproliferative disease. *Immunol Rev*. 2005;203:180–99. doi:10.1111/j.0105-2896.2005.00230.x.
12. Ma CS, Nichols KE, Tangye SG. Regulation of cellular and humoral immune responses by the SLAM and SAP families of molecules. *Annu Rev Immunol*. 2007;25:337–79. doi:10.1146/annurev.immunol.25.022106.141651.
13. Rezaei N, Mahmoudi E, Aghamohammadi A, Das R, Nichols KE. X-linked lymphoproliferative syndrome: a genetic condition typified by the triad of infection, immunodeficiency and lymphoma. *Br J Haematol*. 2011;152(1):13–30. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2141.2010.08442.x.

14. Booth C, Gilmour KC, Veys P, Gennery AR, Slatter MA, Chapel H, et al. X-linked lymphoproliferative disease due to SAP/SH2D1A deficiency: a multicenter study on the manifestations, management and outcome of the disease. *Blood*. 2011;117(1):53–62. doi:10.1182/blood-2010-06-284935.
15. Pachlopnik Schmid J, Canioni D, Moshous D, Touzot F, Mahlaoui N, Hauck F, et al. Clinical similarities and differences of patients with X-linked lymphoproliferative syndrome type 1 (XLP-1/SAP deficiency) versus type 2 (XLP-2/XIAP deficiency). *Blood*. 2011;117(5):1522–9. doi:10.1182/blood-2010-07-298372.
16. Seemayer TA, Gross TG, Egeler RM, Pirruccello SJ, Davis JR, Kelly CM, et al. X-linked lymphoproliferative disease: twenty-five years after the discovery. *Pediatr Res*. 1995;38(4):471–8. doi:10.1203/00006450-199510000-00001.
17. Tomkinson BE, Wagner DK, Nelson DL, Sullivan JL. Activated lymphocytes during acute Epstein-Barr virus infection. *J Immunol*. 1987;139(11):3802–7.
18. Skare JC, Milunsky A, Byron KS, Sullivan JL. Mapping the X-linked lymphoproliferative syndrome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1987;84(7):2015–8.
19. Skare JC, Sullivan JL, Milunsky A. Mapping the mutation causing the X-linked lymphoproliferative syndrome in relation to restriction fragment length polymorphisms on Xq. *Hum Genet*. 1989;82(4):349–53.
20. Sanger WG, Grierson HL, Skare J, Wyandt H, Pirruccello S, Fordyce R, et al. Partial Xq25 deletion in a family with the X-linked lymphoproliferative disease (XLP). *Cancer Genet Cytogenet*. 1990;47(2):163–9.
21. Sayos J, Wu C, Morra M, Wang N, Zhang X, Allen D, et al. The X-linked lymphoproliferative-disease gene product SAP regulates signals induced through the co-receptor SLAM. *Nature*. 1998;395(6701):462–9. doi:10.1038/26683.
22. Coffey AJ, Brooksbank RA, Brandau O, Oohashi T, Howell GR, Bye JM, et al. Host response to EBV infection in X-linked lymphoproliferative disease results from mutations in an SH2-domain encoding gene. *Nat Genet*. 1998;20(2):129–35. doi:10.1038/2424.
23. Nichols KE, Harkin DP, Levitz S, Krainer M, Kolquist KA, Genovese C, et al. Inactivating mutations in an SH2 domain-encoding gene in X-linked lymphoproliferative syndrome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1998;95(23):13765–70.
24. Schwartzberg PL, Mueller KL, Qi H, Cannons JL. SLAM receptors and SAP influence lymphocyte interactions, development and function. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2009;9(1):39–46. doi:10.1038/nri2456.
25. Cannons JL, Tangye SG, Schwartzberg PL. SLAM family receptors and SAP adaptors in immunity. *Annu Rev Immunol*. 2011;29:665–705. doi:10.1146/annurev-immunol-030409-101302.
26. Bottino C, Falco M, Parolini S, Marcenaro E, Augugliaro R, Sivori S, et al. NTB-A, a novel SH2D1A-associated surface molecule contributing to the inability of natural killer cells to kill Epstein-Barr virus-infected B cells in X-linked lymphoproliferative disease. *J Exp Med*. 2001;194(3):235–46.
27. Parolini S, Bottino C, Falco M, Augugliaro R, Giliani S, Franceschini R, et al. X-linked lymphoproliferative disease. 2B4 molecules displaying inhibitory rather than activating function are responsible for the inability of natural killer cells to kill Epstein-Barr virus-infected cells. *J Exp Med*. 2000;192(3):337–46.
28. Palendira U, Low C, Chan A, Hislop AD, Ho E, Phan TG, et al. Molecular pathogenesis of EBV susceptibility in XLP as revealed by analysis of female carriers with heterozygous expression of SAP. *PLoS Biol*. 2011;9(11):e1001187. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001187.
29. Dong Z, Davidson D, Perez-Quintero LA, Kurosaki T, Swat W, Veillette A. The adaptor SAP controls NK cell activation by regulating the enzymes Vav-1 and SHIP-1 and by enhancing conjugates with target cells. *Immunity*. 2012;36(6):974–85. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2012.03.023.
30. Kageyama R, Cannons JL, Zhao F, Yusuf I, Lao C, Locci M, et al. The receptor Ly108 functions as a SAP adaptor-dependent on-off switch for T cell help to B cells and NKT cell development. *Immunity*. 2012;36(6):986–1002. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2012.05.016.
31. Zhao F, Cannons JL, Dutta M, Griffiths GM, Schwartzberg PL. Positive and negative signaling through SLAM receptors regulate synapse organization and thresholds of cytotoxicity. *Immunity*. 2012;36(6):1003–16. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2012.05.017.
32. Latour S, Gish G, Helgason CD, Humphries RK, Pawson T, Veillette A. Regulation of SLAM-mediated signal transduction by SAP, the X-linked lymphoproliferative gene product. *Nat Immunol*. 2001;2(8):681–90. doi:10.1038/90615.
33. Latour S, Roncagalli R, Chen R, Bakinowski M, Shi X, Schwartzberg PL, et al. Binding of SAP SH2 domain to FynT SH3 domain reveals a novel mechanism of receptor signalling in immune regulation. *Nat Cell Biol*. 2003;5(2):149–54. doi:10.1038/ncb919.
34. Chan B, Lanyi A, Song HK, Griesbach J, Simarro-Grande M, Poy F, et al. SAP couples Fyn to SLAM immune receptors. *Nat Cell Biol*. 2003;5(2):155–60. doi:10.1038/ncb920.
35. Cannons JL, Yu LJ, Hill B, Mijares LA, Dombroski D, Nichols KE, et al. SAP regulates T(H)2 differentiation and PKC-theta-mediated activation of NF-kappaB1. *Immunity*. 2004;21(5):693–706. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2004.09.012.
36. Davidson D, Shi X, Zhang S, Wang H, Nemer M, Ono N, et al. Genetic evidence linking SAP, the X-linked lymphoproliferative gene product, to Src-related kinase FynT in T(H)2 cytokine regulation. *Immunity*. 2004;21(5):707–17. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2004.10.005.
37. Cannons JL, Yu LJ, Jankovic D, Crotty S, Horai R, Kirby M, et al. SAP regulates T cell-mediated help for humoral immunity by a mechanism distinct from cytokine regulation. *J Exp Med*. 2006;203(6):1551–65. doi:10.1084/jem.20052097.
38. Gami-Wagner BA, Purohit A, Mathew PA, Bennett M, Kumar V. A novel function-associated molecule related to non-MHC-restricted cytotoxicity mediated by activated natural killer cells and T cells. *J Immunol*. 1993;151(1):60–70.
39. Mathew PA, Gami-Wagner BA, Land K, Takashima A, Stoneman E, Bennett M, et al. Cloning and characterization of the 2B4 gene encoding a molecule associated with non-MHC-restricted killing mediated by activated natural killer cells and T cells. *J Immunol*. 1993;151(10):5328–37.
40. Valiante NM, Trinchieri G. Identification of a novel signal transduction surface molecule on human cytotoxic lymphocytes. *J Exp Med*. 1993;178(4):1397–406.
41. Tangye SG, Lazetic S, Woollatt E, Sutherland GR, Lanier LL, Phillips JH. Cutting edge: human 2B4, an activating NK cell receptor, recruits the protein tyrosine phosphatase SHP-2 and the adaptor signaling protein SAP. *J Immunol*. 1999;162(12):6981–5.
42. Cocks BG, Chang CC, Carballido JM, Yssel H, de Vries JE, Aversa G. A novel receptor involved in T-cell activation. *Nature*. 1995;376(6537):260–3. doi:10.1038/376260a0.
43. Carballido JM, Aversa G, Kaltoft K, Cocks BG, Punnonen J, Yssel H, et al. Reversal of human allergic T helper 2 responses by engagement of signaling lymphocytic activation molecule. *J Immunol*. 1997;159(9):4316–21.
44. Bouchon A, Cella M, Grierson HL, Cohen JI, Colonna M. Activation of NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity by a SAP-independent receptor of the CD2 family. *J Immunol*. 2001;167(10):5517–21.
45. Hislop AD, Palendira U, Leese AM, Arkwright PD, Rohrlisch PS, Tangye SG, et al. Impaired Epstein-Barr virus-specific CD8+ T-cell function in X-linked lymphoproliferative disease is restricted to SLAM family-positive B-cell targets. *Blood*. 2010;116(17):3249–57. doi:10.1182/blood-2009-09-238832.
46. Sullivan JL, Byron KS, Brewster FE, Purtilo DT. Deficient natural killer cell activity in x-linked lymphoproliferative syndrome. *Science*. 1980;210(4469):543–5.

47. Harada S, Bechtold T, Seeley JK, Purtilo DT. Cell-mediated immunity to Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) and natural killer (NK)-cell activity in the X-linked lymphoproliferative syndrome. *Int J Cancer*. 1982;30(6):739–44.
48. Nakajima H, Cella M, Bouchon A, Grierson HL, Lewis J, Duckett CS, et al. Patients with X-linked lymphoproliferative disease have a defect in 2B4 receptor-mediated NK cell cytotoxicity. *Eur J Immunol*. 2000;30(11):3309–18. doi:10.1002/1521-4141(200011)30:11<3309::AID-IMMU3309>3.0.CO;2-3.
49. Tangye SG, Phillips JH, Lanier LL, Nichols KE. Functional requirement for SAP in 2B4-mediated activation of human natural killer cells as revealed by the X-linked lymphoproliferative syndrome. *J Immunol*. 2000;165(6):2932–6.
50. Lai PK, Yasuda N, Purtilo DT. Immunoregulatory T cells in males vulnerable to Epstein-Barr virus with the X-linked lymphoproliferative syndrome. *Am J Pediatr Hematol Oncol*. 1987;9(2):179–82.
51. Griewank K, Borowski C, Rietdijk S, Wang N, Julien A, Wei DG, et al. Homotypic interactions mediated by Slamf1 and Slamf6 receptors control NKT cell lineage development. *Immunity*. 2007;27(5):751–62. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2007.08.020.
52. Li W, Sofi MH, Rietdijk S, Wang N, Terhorst C, Chang CH. The SLAM-associated protein signaling pathway is required for development of CD4+ T cells selected by homotypic thymocyte interaction. *Immunity*. 2007;27(5):763–74. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2007.10.008.
53. Orange JS. Natural killer cell deficiency. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2013;132(3):515–25. doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2013.07.020. quiz 26.
54. Lunemann A, Vanoaica LD, Azzi T, Nadal D, Munz C. A distinct subpopulation of human NK cells restricts B cell transformation by EBV. *J Immunol*. 2013;191(10):4989–95. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1301046.
55. Stowig T, Brilot F, Arrey F, Bougras G, Thomas D, Muller WA, et al. Tonsillar NK cells restrict B cell transformation by the Epstein-Barr virus via IFN-gamma. *PLoS Pathog*. 2008;4(2):e27. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0040027.
56. Chijioke O, Muller A, Feederle R, Barros MH, Krieg C, Emmel V, et al. Human natural killer cells prevent infectious mononucleosis features by targeting lytic Epstein-Barr virus infection. *Cell Rep*. 2013;5(6):1489–98. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2013.11.041.
57. Thorley-Lawson DA, Schooley RT, Bhan AK, Nadler LM. Epstein-Barr virus superinduces a new human B cell differentiation antigen (B-LAST 1) expressed on transformed lymphoblasts. *Cell*. 1982;30(2):415–25.
58. Ganem D. KSHV and the pathogenesis of Kaposi sarcoma: listening to human biology and medicine. *J Clin Invest*. 2010;120(4):939–49. doi:10.1172/JCI40567.
59. Harada S, Sakamoto K, Seeley JK, Lindsten T, Bechtold T, Yetz J, et al. Immune deficiency in the X-linked lymphoproliferative syndrome. I. Epstein-Barr virus-specific defects. *J Immunol*. 1982;129(6):2532–5.
60. Ochs HD, Sullivan JL, Wedgwood RJ, Seeley JK, Sakamoto K, Purtilo DT. X-linked lymphoproliferative syndrome: abnormal antibody responses to bacteriophage phi X 174. *Birth Defects Orig Artic Ser*. 1983;19(3):321–3.
61. Grierson HL, Skare J, Hawk J, Pauza M, Purtilo DT. Immunoglobulin class and subclass deficiencies prior to Epstein-Barr virus infection in males with X-linked lymphoproliferative disease. *Am J Med Genet*. 1991;40(3):294–7. doi:10.1002/ajmg.1320400309.
62. Lindsten T, Seeley JK, Ballou M, Sakamoto K, St Onge S, Yetz J, et al. Immune deficiency in the X-linked lymphoproliferative syndrome. II. Immunoregulatory T cell defects. *J Immunol*. 1982;129(6):2536–40.
63. Rousset F, Souillet G, Roncarolo MG, Lamelin JP. Studies of EBV-lymphoid cell interactions in two patients with the X-linked lymphoproliferative syndrome: normal EBV-specific HLA-restricted cytotoxicity. *Clin Exp Immunol*. 1986;63(2):280–9.
64. Ma CS, Hare NJ, Nichols KE, Dupre L, Andolfi G, Roncarolo MG, et al. Impaired humoral immunity in X-linked lymphoproliferative disease is associated with defective IL-10 production by CD4+ T cells. *J Clin Invest*. 2005;115(4):1049–59. doi:10.1172/JCI23139.
65. Ma CS, Pittaluga S, Avery DT, Hare NJ, Maric I, Klion AD, et al. Selective generation of functional somatically mutated IgM+CD27+, but not Ig isotype-switched, memory B cells in X-linked lymphoproliferative disease. *J Clin Invest*. 2006;116(2):322–33. doi:10.1172/JCI25720.
66. Crotty S. Follicular helper CD4 T cells (TFH). *Annu Rev Immunol*. 2011;29:621–63. doi:10.1146/annurev-immunol-031210-101400.
67. Ma CS, Deenick EK, Batten M, Tangye SG. The origins, function, and regulation of T follicular helper cells. *J Exp Med*. 2012;209(7):1241–53. doi:10.1084/jem.20120994.
68. Tangye SG, Ma CS, Brink R, Deenick EK. The good, the bad and the ugly - TFH cells in human health and disease. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2013;13(6):412–26. doi:10.1038/nri3447.
69. Cannons JL, Qi H, Lu KT, Dutta M, Gomez-Rodriguez J, Cheng J, et al. Optimal germinal center responses require a multistage T cell:B cell adhesion process involving integrins, SLAM-associated protein, and CD84. *Immunity*. 2010;32(2):253–65. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2010.01.010.
70. Qi H, Cannons JL, Klauschen F, Schwartzberg PL, Germain RN. SAP-controlled T-B cell interactions underlie germinal centre formation. *Nature*. 2008;455(7214):764–9. doi:10.1038/nature07345.
71. Yusuf I, Kageyama R, Monticelli L, Johnston RJ, Ditoro D, Hansen K, et al. Germinal center T follicular helper cell IL-4 production is dependent on signaling lymphocytic activation molecule receptor (CD150). *J Immunol*. 2010;185(1):190–202. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.0903505.
72. Morra M, Silander O, Calpe S, Choi M, Oettgen H, Myers L, et al. Alterations of the X-linked lymphoproliferative disease gene SH2D1A in common variable immunodeficiency syndrome. *Blood*. 2001;98(5):1321–5.
73. Sandlund JT, Shurtleff SA, Onciu M, Horwitz E, Leung W, Howard V, et al. Frequent mutations in SH2D1A (XLP) in males presenting with high-grade mature B-cell neoplasms. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2013;60(9):E85–7. doi:10.1002/pbc.24525.
74. Wada T, Candotti F. Somatic mosaicism in primary immune deficiencies. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2008;8(6):510–4. doi:10.1097/ACI.0b013e328314b651.
75. Conley ME, Lavoie A, Briggs C, Brown P, Guerra C, Puck JM. Nonrandom X chromosome inactivation in B cells from carriers of X chromosome-linked severe combined immunodeficiency. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1988;85(9):3090–4.
76. Conley ME, Puck JM. Carrier detection in typical and atypical X-linked agammaglobulinemia. *J Pediatr*. 1988;112(5):688–94.
77. Palendira U, Low C, Bell AI, Ma CS, Abbott RJ, Phan TG, et al. Expansion of somatically reverted memory CD8+ T cells in patients with X-linked lymphoproliferative disease caused by selective pressure from Epstein-Barr virus. *J Exp Med*. 2012;209(5):913–24. doi:10.1084/jem.20112391.
78. Lankester AC, Visser LF, Hartwig NG, Bredius RG, Gaspar HB, van der Burg M, et al. Allogeneic stem cell transplantation in X-linked lymphoproliferative disease: two cases in one family and review of the literature. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2005;36(2):99–105. doi:10.1038/sj.bmt.1705016.
79. Milone MC, Tsai DE, Hodinka RL, Silverman LB, Malbran A, Wasik MA, et al. Treatment of primary Epstein-Barr virus infection in patients with X-linked lymphoproliferative disease using B-cell-directed therapy. *Blood*. 2005;105(3):994–6. doi:10.1182/blood-2004-07-2965.
80. Rivat C, Booth C, Alonso-Ferrero M, Blundell M, Sebire NJ, Thrasher AJ, et al. SAP gene transfer restores cellular and humoral immune function in a murine model of X-linked lymphoproliferative disease. *Blood*. 2013;121(7):1073–6. doi:10.1182/blood-2012-07-445858.